

CONSELHO NACIONAL DE AVALIAÇÃO DO ENSINO SUPERIOR (NATIONAL EVALUATION COUNCIL FOR HIGHER EDUCATION)

Review of the Quality Assurance and Accreditation Policies and Pratices in the Portuguese Higher Education

SELF-EVALUATION REPORT

Sérgio Machado dos Santos (Rapporteur) and Luís Carrilho Gonçalves - João Duarte Silva Luís Adão da Fonseca - António Ferrão Filipe Carlos Vieira - Maria de Jesus Lima Mário Ferraz de Oliveira

> CNAVES, FUP, ADISPOR, APESP LISBON 2006

INDEX

PREFACE OF ADRIANO MOREIRA	9
INTRODUCTORY NOTE	13
LIST OF ACRONYMS	15
1. INTRODUCTION	17
2. NATIONAL CONTEXT FOR HIGHER EDUCATION	19
2.1 The Expansion of Higher Education	19
2.2 The Organisation of Higher Education	22
2.3 The Legal Framework	25
2.4 Financing of Higher Education	27
3. THE QUALITY ASSURANCE SYSTEM	
3. THE QUALITY ASSURANCE SYSTEM	29
3.1 Historical Background	33
3.2 The Legal Framework	36
3.3 Mission, Roles and Responsibilities	38
3.4 Internal Organisation and Staff	30
3.5 Financing	42
3.6 External Quality Assurance Activities	42
4. METHODOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK	47
4.1 Information Procedures and Transparency in the Quality	
Assurance Assessments	47
4.1.1 Overall planning of the evaluation round and communication	
with the institutions	47
4.1.2 Procedures related to the formulation of terms of reference	48
4.1.3 Predefined criteria for the assessments	48
4.1.4 General reference points	49
4.1.5 Fitness to the aims of the evaluation processes	50

4.1.6 Strategy for student participation	51
4.2 Methodological Model	
4.2.1 Overall methodological model	
4.2.2 The role of external experts	
4.2.3 Self-evaluation procedures	
4.2.4 Procedures related to the site visits	
4.2.5 The process and content for reporting	
4.3 Publication and Follow-up	
4.4 System of Appeal	
5. INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE	60
5.1 Policy and Organisation	
5.2 Procedures and Mechanisms in the Internal Quality Work	
5.3 External Studies on the Evaluation System and its Impact on Quality	
Culture and Quality Management	
5.3.1 An external view on the first round of evaluations	
	/1
5.3.2 A study on the institutional consequences from the evaluation	70
process at the public universities	
5.3.3 A survey on the opinion of the public polytechnic institutes	/4
6. CONCLUDING REMARKS	77
U. CONCLUDING REMARKS	
7.LIST OF APENDICES	85