

RELAXATION IN $SBV_p(\Omega; S^{d-1})$

GRAÇA CARITA, IRENE FONSECA, AND GIOVANNI LEONI

ABSTRACT. An integral representation formula is obtained for the relaxation of a class of energy functionals defined in the class of SBV_p functions that are constrained to have values on the sphere S^{d-1} .

1. INTRODUCTION

Equilibrium problems for liquid crystals and magnetostrictive materials lead naturally to the study of variational problems in which the underlying function space is a subset of Borel functions with values on the sphere (see [26], [43]). More generally, for bulk energies there is a large literature on lower semicontinuity, relaxation, and regularity for functionals of the type

$$E(u) := \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, \nabla u) \, dx, \quad u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathcal{M}),$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is open and bounded, $1 \leq p < \infty$, and $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a regular m -dimensional manifold, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, (see, e.g., [16], [24], [33], [34], [35]). If $f(x, u, \cdot)$ is nonconvex, usually $u \mapsto E(u)$ fails to be lower semicontinuous, and thus we must consider the relaxed energy

$$\mathcal{E}(u) := \inf \left\{ \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(u_n) : u_n \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathcal{M}), u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^1(\Omega; \mathcal{M}) \right\}.$$

One of the main objectives of relaxation theory is to find an integral representation for $\mathcal{E}(u)$. If $p > 1$ and the integrand f satisfies a coercivity hypothesis of the type

$$f(x, u, \xi) \geq \frac{1}{C} |\xi|^p$$

for \mathcal{L}^N -a.e. $x \in \Omega$, for all $u \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}$ and for some $C > 0$, then the domain of \mathcal{E} remains in the Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathcal{M})$. On the other hand, if $p = 1$, then it may happen that discontinuous fields are approached by sequences of smooth maps with bounded energy, in which case the domain of \mathcal{E} may escape $W^{1,1}(\Omega; \mathcal{M})$ and include bounded variation type fields. In this context the relaxed energy \mathcal{E} has been studied by Alicandro, Corbo Esposito, and Leone [1] when $\mathcal{M} = S^{d-1}$, the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^d , and f has linear growth. This result was later extended by Mucci [41] to general manifolds and for a restricted class of integrands satisfying an isotropy condition, and subsequently by Babadjian and Millot [8], who removed this restriction. Note that the integrands treated and the arguments used in [1] and [8] fall within the general theory developed for the unconstrained case in [4], [31], and [13].

The key arguments in [1], [41], and [8] are the density of smooth functions in $W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathcal{M})$ (see [10], [11], and [37] for the precise statement) and a projection technique introduced in [39], [38].

In this paper we address a constrained variational problem that seems to fall outside the scope of these techniques. Precisely, we consider the functional

$$F(u) := \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, \nabla u) \, dx + \int_{S(u)} g(x, u^+, u^-, \nu_u) \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \quad u \in SBV_p(\Omega; S^{d-1}),$$

where $p > 1$, the functions $f : \Omega \times S^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times N} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $g : \Omega \times S^{d-1} \times S^{d-1} \times S^{N-1} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfy the hypotheses:

- (F_1) f is Carathéodory,
 (F_2) there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{C} |\xi|^p \leq f(x, u, \xi) \leq C(1 + |\xi|^p)$$

for \mathcal{L}^N -a.e. $x \in \Omega$, for all $u \in S^{d-1}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}$.

- (G_1) g is continuous,
 (G_2) there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{C} \leq g(x, \lambda, \theta, \nu) \leq C$$

for all $x \in \Omega$, $\lambda, \theta \in S^{d-1}$ and $\nu \in S^{N-1}$,

- (G_3) $g(x, \lambda, \theta, -\nu) = g(x, \theta, \lambda, \nu)$ for all $x \in \Omega$, $\lambda, \theta \in S^{d-1}$ and $\nu \in S^{N-1}$.

Here it is important to observe that functions in $SBV_p(\Omega; S^{d-1})$ cannot be approximated by smooth functions. Instead, we adapt to the constrained case an approximation result due to Braides and Chiadò-Piat (see Lemma 5.2 in [15]) using regularity results developed by Carriero and Leaci [18] (see also [22]) for a constrained Mumford-Shah type functional, which allows us to replace the projection argument in [39], [38], with the one due to Carriero and Leaci (see [18], Lemma 3.5).

The purpose of this paper is to obtain an integral representation for the localized relaxed energy

$$\mathcal{F}(u; A) := \inf \left\{ \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(u_n; A) : u_n \in SBV_p(A; S^{d-1}), u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^1(A; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\}, \quad (1.1)$$

with $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ and $u \in SBV_p(\Omega; S^{d-1})$, where $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ denotes the family of all open subsets of Ω .

Precisely,

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that*

$$p = 2$$

and that f and g satisfy (F_1), (F_2) and (G_1), (G_2), (G_3), respectively. Then for every $u \in SBV_2(\Omega; S^{d-1})$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$,

$$\mathcal{F}(u; A) = \int_A Q_T f(x, u, \nabla u) dx + \int_{S(u) \cap A} Rg(x, u^+, u^-, \nu_u) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1},$$

where $Q_T f(x, u, \cdot)$ and $Rg(x, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ denote, respectively, the tangential quasiconvex envelope of $f(x, u, \cdot)$, and the BV-elliptic envelope of $g(x, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$.

The treatment of the unconstrained case may be found in [5], [14] and [15].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give a brief overview of preliminary results, and in Section 3 we establish the lower bound for the relaxed energy \mathcal{F} . To obtain the upper bound for \mathcal{F} , in Section 4, we show that \mathcal{F} is a variational functional (see Definition 4.1 in [6]), that is,

- (H_1) $\mathcal{F}(u; \cdot)$ is the restriction to $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ of a Radon measure;
 (H_2) \mathcal{F} is local, i.e., $\mathcal{F}(u; A) = \mathcal{F}(v; A)$ whenever $u = v$ \mathcal{L}^N -a.e. in $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$;
 (H_3) $\mathcal{F}(\cdot; A)$ is $L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ sequentially lower semicontinuous, that is,

$$\mathcal{F}(u; A) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}(u_n; A)$$

whenever $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ and $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$.

Note that property (H_2) follows from (1.1), while property (H_3) from (1.1) and a diagonal argument. The main difficulty is to prove that $\mathcal{F}(u; \cdot)$ satisfies (H_1). We will show that $\mathcal{F}(u; \cdot)$ satisfies (H_1) for a special class of functions u such that

$$u \in C(\Omega \setminus K; S^{d-1}) \cap SBV_p(\Omega; S^{d-1}), \quad (1.2)$$

where the compact set $K \subset \Omega$ satisfies suitable conditions (see (4.2) below). The key result is Lemma 4.3, in which we show that every admissible sequence for $\mathcal{F}(u; A)$ can be modified to

match u in a neighborhood of ∂A , without increasing the energy. The proof of this lemma relies strongly on the regularity of u away from K , together with a projection argument introduced by Carriero and Leaci (see [18], Lemma 3.5).

Once (H_1) is established, using blow up techniques developed in [31], [32], we obtain the integral representation for all u as in (1.2). To remove the additional smoothness of u , we use the regularity results of Carriero and Leaci [18] and of Schoen and Uhlenbeck (see Theorem 2.2.4 in [40]) for sphere-valued minimizers of the Mumford-Shah functional, in order to approximate any u in $SBV_2(\Omega; S^{d-1})$ in a strong sense by a sequence $\{u_n\}$ of the type (1.2) (see Lemma 4.4). The fact that $p = 2$ is only used to ensure C^∞ regularity outside the set K . Indeed, for $p > 1$, $p \neq 2$, it is known that p -harmonic functions are only $C^{1,\alpha}$, and this prevents the use of Sard's theorem (see the proof of Case 4 of Substep 1b in Theorem 4.1). We remark that all the other preparatory results do not need this restriction, and thus we present them for arbitrary $p > 1$, although a different argument is needed to treat Case 4 of Substep 1b in Theorem 4.1 for $p \neq 2$, and this is ongoing work.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In the following $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an open bounded set and we denote by $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ the families of open and Borel subsets of Ω , respectively. The Lebesgue N -dimensional measure is denoted by \mathcal{L}^N , while \mathcal{H}^{N-1} stands for the $(N-1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure. The unit cube in \mathbb{R}^N , $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})^N$, is denoted by Q and we set $Q(x_0, \varepsilon) := x_0 + \varepsilon Q$ for $\varepsilon > 0$. We define $Q_\nu := R_\nu(Q)$, where R_ν is a rotation such that $R_\nu(e_N) = \nu$. The constant C may vary from line to line.

Definition 2.1. *A function $u \in L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ is said to be of bounded variation, and we write $u \in BV(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$, if for all $i = 1, \dots, d$, and $j = 1, \dots, N$, there exists a Radon measure μ_{ij} such that*

$$\int_{\Omega} u^i(x) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j}(x) dx = - \int_{\Omega} \varphi d\mu_{ij}$$

for every $\varphi \in C_c^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$.

The distributional derivative Du is a $d \times N$ matrix-valued measure with components μ_{ij} . The total variation of the measure Du is given by

$$|Du|(\Omega) := \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^d \int_{\Omega} u^i \operatorname{div} \varphi_i dx : \varphi \in C_c^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}), \|\varphi\|_{\infty} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

We briefly recall some facts about functions of bounded variation. For more details we refer the reader to [5], [27], [36], and [44].

Definition 2.2. *Given $u \in BV(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ the approximate upper limit and the approximate lower limit of each component u^i , $i = 1, \dots, d$, are defined by*

$$(u^i)^+(x) := \inf \left\{ t \in \mathbb{R} : \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathcal{L}^N(\{y \in \Omega \cap Q(x, \varepsilon) : u^i(y) > t\})}{\varepsilon^N} = 0 \right\}$$

and

$$(u^i)^-(x) := \sup \left\{ t \in \mathbb{R} : \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathcal{L}^N(\{y \in \Omega \cap Q(x, \varepsilon) : u^i(y) < t\})}{\varepsilon^N} = 0 \right\},$$

respectively. The jump set of u is defined by

$$S(u) := \bigcup_{i=1}^d \left\{ x \in \Omega : (u^i)^-(x) < (u^i)^+(x) \right\}.$$

It can be shown that $S(u)$ and the complement of the set of Lebesgue points of u differ, at most, by a set of \mathcal{H}^{N-1} measure zero. Moreover, $S(u)$ is $(N-1)$ -rectifiable, i.e., there are C^1 hypersurfaces Γ_i such that

$$\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S(u) \setminus \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_i) = 0.$$

In addition, for \mathcal{H}^{N-1} -a.e. $x \in S(u)$ it is possible to find $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\nu \in S^{N-1}$ such that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^N} \int_{Q_\nu^+(x, \varepsilon)} |u(y) - a| dy = 0, \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^N} \int_{Q_\nu^-(x, \varepsilon)} |u(y) - b| dy = 0,$$

where $Q_\nu^+(x, \varepsilon) := \{y \in Q_\nu(x, \varepsilon) : \langle y - x, \nu \rangle > 0\}$ and $Q_\nu^-(x, \varepsilon) := \{y \in Q_\nu(x, \varepsilon) : \langle y - x, \nu \rangle < 0\}$. The triplet (a, b, ν) is uniquely determined up to a change of sign of ν and an interchange between a and b and it will be denoted by $(u^+(x), u^-(x), \nu_u(x))$. In the sequel, we write that

$$(a, b, \nu) \sim (a', b', \nu') \tag{2.1}$$

if $(a, b, \nu) = (a', b', \nu')$ or $(a, b, \nu) = (b', a', -\nu')$.

Choosing a normal $\nu_u(x)$ to $S(u)$ at x , we denote the *jump* of u across $S(u)$ by $[u] := u^+ - u^-$. The distributional derivative of $u \in BV(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ admits the decomposition

$$Du = \nabla u \mathcal{L}^N \llcorner \Omega + ([u] \otimes \nu_u) \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \llcorner S(u) + C(u),$$

where ∇u represents the density of the absolutely continuous part of the Radon measure Du with respect to the Lebesgue measure. The *Hausdorff*, or *jump*, *part* of Du is represented by $([u] \otimes \nu_u) \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \llcorner (S(u) \cap \Omega)$ and $C(u)$ is the *Cantor part* of Du . The measure $C(u)$ is singular with respect to the Lebesgue measure and is diffuse, i.e., every Borel set $E \subset \Omega$ with $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(E) < \infty$ has Cantor measure zero.

We say that a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a set of *finite perimeter* in Ω if $\chi_E \in BV(\Omega)$, that is,

$$\sup \left\{ \int_E \operatorname{div} \varphi dx : \varphi \in C_0^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d), \|\varphi\|_\infty \leq 1 \right\} < \infty.$$

The *perimeter* of E in Ω is the total variation of the characteristic function χ_E in Ω and it is denoted by $\operatorname{Per}(E; \Omega)$.

The relation between functions in $BV(\Omega)$ and sets of finite perimeter is given by the *Fleming-Rishel coarea formula*

$$|Du|(\Omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \operatorname{Per}(\{x \in \Omega : u(x) > t\}; \Omega) dt. \tag{2.2}$$

For every set E of finite perimeter in Ω , we have

$$\operatorname{Per}(E; \Omega) = \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial^* E),$$

where $\partial^* E$ represents the *reduced boundary* of E in Ω , i.e., $\partial^* E \cap \Omega = S(\chi_E) \cap \Omega$.

Special functions of bounded variation were introduced by De Giorgi and Ambrosio [21] in the study of image segmentation in computer vision.

Definition 2.3. *The space of special functions of bounded variation, $SBV(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$, is the space of all functions u in $BV(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $C(u) = 0$.*

We say that a function $u \in SBV(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ belongs to $SBV_p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$, $p > 1$, if

$$\nabla u \in L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S(u)) < \infty.$$

A sequence $\{u_n\} \subset SBV_p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ converges strongly to u in SBV_p if

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\rightarrow u \text{ in } L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d), & \nabla u_n &\rightarrow \nabla u \text{ in } L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}), \\ \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S(u_n) \triangle S(u)) &\rightarrow 0, & \int_{S(u_n) \cup S(u)} (|u_n^+ - u^+| + |u_n^- - u^-|) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} &\rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Here we choose the orientation

$$\nu_{u_n} = \nu_u \quad \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\text{-a.e. on } S(u_n) \cap S(u). \quad (2.4)$$

The space $SBV_0(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ is defined by

$$SBV_0(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) := \left\{ u \in SBV(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) : \nabla u = 0 \text{ } \mathcal{L}^N\text{-a.e. in } \Omega \text{ and } \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S(u)) < \infty \right\}.$$

We recall the definition of quasiconvexity.

Definition 2.4. A Borel function $f : \mathbb{R}^{d \times N} \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ is said to be quasiconvex if

$$f(\xi) \leq \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}^N(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} f(\xi + \nabla \varphi(y)) dy \quad (2.5)$$

for every open bounded $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with $\mathcal{L}^N(\partial\Omega) = 0$, for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}$ and for every $\varphi \in W_0^{1,\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ whenever the right hand side of (2.5) exists as a Lebesgue integral.

Here, and in what follows, the space $W_0^{1,\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ denotes the $W^{1,\infty}$ weak * closure of $C_c^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$.

Given $f : \mathbb{R}^{d \times N} \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$, the *quasiconvex envelope* $Qf : \mathbb{R}^{d \times N} \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ of f is defined by

$$Qf(\xi) := \sup \left\{ \bar{f}(\xi) : \bar{f} : \mathbb{R}^{d \times N} \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty] \text{ is quasiconvex, } \bar{f} \leq f \right\},$$

where $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}$, where we use the convention that $\sup \emptyset = -\infty$.

If $f : \mathbb{R}^{d \times N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Borel function locally bounded from below, then it can be shown that the quasiconvex envelope of f is given by

$$Qf(\xi) = \inf \left\{ \int_Q f(\xi + \nabla \varphi(y)) dy : \varphi \in W_0^{1,\infty}(Q; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\},$$

see [30].

For manifold-constrained fields the appropriate notion of quasiconvexity was introduced in [24], precisely,

Definition 2.5. Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an m -dimensional manifold of class C^1 , with $1 \leq m \leq d$, and let $f : \mathbb{R}^{d \times N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Borel function locally bounded from below. The *tangential quasiconvex envelope*, $Q_T f$, of f is defined by

$$Q_T f(z, \xi) := \inf \left\{ \int_Q f(\xi + \nabla \varphi(x)) dx : \varphi \in W_0^{1,\infty}(Q; T_z(\mathcal{M})) \right\},$$

$z \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\xi \in [T_z(\mathcal{M})]^N$, where $T_z(\mathcal{M})$ is the tangent space to \mathcal{M} at z .

Setting $\overline{\mathcal{M}} := \{(z, \xi) \in \mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times N} : \xi \in [T_z(\mathcal{M})]^N\}$, a Borel function $f : \overline{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be *tangentially quasiconvex* if

$$f(z, \xi) = Q_T f(z, \xi) \quad \text{for all } (z, \xi) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}.$$

It was proved in [24] that under the conditions of Definition 2.5, one has

$$Q_T f(z, \xi) = Q\bar{f}(z, \xi) \quad (2.6)$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\xi \in [T_z(\mathcal{M})]^N$, where $\bar{f} : \mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the function defined by

$$\bar{f}(z, \xi) := f(P_z \xi), \quad (2.7)$$

$(z, \xi) \in \mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}$. Here $P_z \xi := (P_z \xi^1, \dots, P_z \xi^N)$, where ξ^i stands for the i^{th} column of the matrix $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}$, and P_z is the orthogonal projection of \mathbb{R}^d onto the tangent space $T_z(\mathcal{M})$. In the special case in which \mathcal{M} is the unit sphere S^{d-1} , then for $z \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}$,

$$P_z \xi = \left(\mathbb{I}_{d \times d} - \frac{z}{|z|} \otimes \frac{z}{|z|} \right) \xi \quad (2.8)$$

is the orthogonal projection of ξ onto the plane perpendicular to $\frac{z}{|z|}$, i.e., $P_z \xi \in T_{\frac{z}{|z|}}(S^{d-1})$ and so the function (2.7) takes the simple form

$$\bar{f}(z, \xi) := f((\mathbb{I}_{d \times d} - z \otimes z) \xi),$$

$(z, \xi) \in S^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}$. Note that we may extend \bar{f} to $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}$ by

$$\bar{f}(z, \xi) := f\left(\left(\mathbb{I}_{d \times d} - \frac{z}{|z|} \otimes \frac{z}{|z|}\right) \xi\right).$$

Definition 2.6. *Given a Borel set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, a Borel function $g : E \times E \times S^{N-1} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is said to be BV-elliptic if for every $(a, b, \nu) \in E \times E \times S^{N-1}$,*

$$\int_{S(u)} g(u^+, u^-, \nu_u) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \geq g(a, b, \nu)$$

for all functions $u \in SBV_0(Q_\nu; \mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^\infty(Q_\nu; \mathbb{R}^d)$ that take values in E and such that $u = u_{a,b,\nu}$ in a neighborhood of ∂Q_ν . Here

$$u_{a,b,\nu}(x) := \begin{cases} b & \text{if } x \cdot \nu \geq 0, \\ a & \text{if } x \cdot \nu < 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

If the set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is bounded, then it turns out that BV-ellipticity is a necessary and sufficient condition for sequential lower semicontinuity of functionals of the form

$$u \in SBV_0(\Omega; E) \mapsto \int_{S(u)} g(u^+, u^-, \nu_u) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$$

under appropriate conditions on the integrand g . We refer to Theorem 5.14 in [5] for more details.

Definition 2.7. *Given a Borel set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and a Borel function $g : E \times E \times S^{N-1} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, the BV-elliptic envelope $Rg : E \times E \times S^{N-1} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ of g is defined by*

$$Rg(a, b, \nu) := \inf \left\{ \int_{S(u)} g(u^+, u^-, \nu_u) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} : u \in SBV_0(Q_\nu; \mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^\infty(Q_\nu; \mathbb{R}^d), \right. \\ \left. u = u_{a,b,\nu} \text{ on } \partial Q_\nu \right\}, \quad (2.10)$$

$(a, b, \nu) \in E \times E \times S^{N-1}$.

3. LOWER BOUND

Set

$$\bar{F}(u; A) := \int_A Q_T f(x, u, \nabla u) dx + \int_{S(u) \cap A} Rg(x, u^+, u^-, \nu_u) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $u \in SBV_p(\Omega; S^{d-1})$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$.

The main result of this section is the following sequential lower semicontinuity theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be open and bounded. Assume that $f : \Omega \times S^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times N} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $g : \Omega \times S^{d-1} \times S^{d-1} \times S^{N-1} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfy hypotheses (F_1) , (F_2) and (G_1) , (G_2) , (G_3) , respectively. Then for every $u \in SBV_p(\Omega; S^{d-1})$, $p > 1$, $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$, and every sequence $\{u_n\} \subset SBV_p(A; S^{d-1})$ converging to u in $L^1(A; \mathbb{R}^d)$,*

$$\bar{F}(u; A) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(u_n; A). \quad (3.2)$$

The proof of the previous theorem uses the next two lemmas.

Lemma 3.2. *Assume that $g : \Omega \times S^{d-1} \times S^{d-1} \times S^{N-1} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfies conditions (G_1) and (G_2) , let $a, b \in S^{d-1}$, $\nu \in S^{N-1}$, $\{\omega_n\} \subset C(Q_\nu; \Omega)$, $\{u_n\} \subset SBV_p(Q_\nu; S^{d-1})$ be such that $u_n \rightarrow u_{a,b,\nu}$ in $L^1(Q_\nu; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S(u_n)} g(\omega_n(y), u_n^+(y), u_n^-(y), \nu_{u_n}(y)) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(y) < \infty, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{Q_\nu} |\nabla u_n(y)|^p dy = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Then there exists a sequence $\{v_n\} \subset SBV_p(Q_\nu; S^{d-1})$ such that $v_n \rightarrow u_{a,b,\nu}$ in $L^1(Q_\nu; \mathbb{R}^d)$, $v_n = u_{a,b,\nu}$ in a neighborhood of ∂Q_ν ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S(v_n)} g(\omega_n(y), v_n^+(y), v_n^-(y), \nu_{v_n}(y)) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(y) \\ & \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S(u_n)} g(\omega_n(y), u_n^+(y), u_n^-(y), \nu_{u_n}(y)) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(y), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{Q_\nu} |\nabla v_n(y)|^p dy = 0.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we take $a = e_d$, $b = e_1$, $\nu = e_N$, we denote $u_{a,b,\nu}$ by u_0 , i.e.,

$$u_0(y) := \begin{cases} e_1 & \text{if } y_N > 0, \\ e_d & \text{if } y_N \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

and we write Q in place of Q_ν .

Extract a subsequence (not relabeled) such that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S(u_n)} g(\omega_n, u_n^+, u_n^-, \nu_{u_n}) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S(u_n)} g(\omega_n, u_n^+, u_n^-, \nu_{u_n}) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} < \infty.$$

In view of (G_2) and since $\{u_n\}$ converges to u_0 in $L^1(Q; \mathbb{R}^d)$, we may also assume that the sequence of Radon measures $\nu_n := \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \llcorner (S(u_n) \cap Q)$ weakly star converges in the sense of measures to some nonnegative Radon measure ν and that $\{u_n\}$ converges to u_0 pointwise \mathcal{L}^N -a.e. in Q .

Using an argument of Carriero and Leaci (see [18], Lemma 3.5), we modify the sequence $\{u_n\}$ in such a way that its projection onto the sphere is Lipschitz. For each $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ set $z' := (z^1, \dots, z^{d-1})$ and $z'' := (z^2, \dots, z^d)$ so that $(z', z^d) \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $(z^1, z'') \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. Set

$$Q^+ := \{y \in Q : y_N \geq 0\}, \quad Q^- := \{y \in Q : y_N < 0\},$$

and define

$$\widehat{u}_n(y) := \begin{cases} (\max(u_n^1(y), \frac{1}{2}), u_n''(y)) & \text{if } y \in Q^+, \\ (u_n'(y), \max(u_n^d(y), \frac{1}{2})) & \text{if } y \in Q^-. \end{cases}$$

Since $|u_0| = 1$ in Q and $\{u_n\}$ converges to u_0 pointwise \mathcal{L}^N -a.e. in Q , we have that $\{\widehat{u}_n\}$ still converges to u_0 pointwise \mathcal{L}^N -a.e. in Q , and since $\frac{1}{2} \leq |\widehat{u}_n| \leq 2$, by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we have that $\{\widehat{u}_n\}$ converges to u_0 in $L^q(Q; \mathbb{R}^d)$ for every $1 \leq q < \infty$.

Using the fact that the function $f_1(t) := \max(t, \frac{1}{2})$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, is Lipschitz, by Corollary 3.1 in [3] and Corollary 3.89 in [5] (with Ω replaced by Q^+), it follows that \widehat{u}_n belongs to $SBV_p(Q; \mathbb{R}^d)$, with

$$\nabla \widehat{u}_n^1 = \begin{cases} 0 & \mathcal{L}^N\text{-a.e. in } Q^+ \cap \{u_n^1 \leq \frac{1}{2}\}, \\ \nabla u_n^1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

$$\nabla \widehat{u}_n^d = \begin{cases} 0 & \mathcal{L}^N\text{-a.e. in } Q^- \cap \{u_n^d \leq \frac{1}{2}\}, \\ \nabla u_n^d & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

$\nabla \widehat{u}_n^i = \nabla u_n^i$ for $i = 2, \dots, d-1$, and

$$S(\widehat{u}_n) \subset S(u_n) \cup \{y \in Q : y_N = 0\}, \quad (3.6)$$

In what follows, for simplicity of notation we abbreviate $\{y \in Q : \widehat{u}_n(y) \neq u_n(y)\}$ as $\{\widehat{u}_n \neq u_n\}$ and $Q(0, s)$ as Q_s . Observe that

$$\mathcal{L}^N(\{\widehat{u}_n \neq u_n\}) = \mathcal{L}^N\left(Q^+ \cap \left\{u_n^1 < \frac{1}{2}\right\}\right) + \mathcal{L}^N\left(Q^- \cap \left\{u_n^d < \frac{1}{2}\right\}\right) \leq \mathcal{L}^N\left(Q \cap \left\{|u_n - u_0| > \frac{1}{2}\right\}\right),$$

therefore $\mathcal{L}^N(\{\widehat{u}_n \neq u_n\}) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By Fubini's theorem we deduce that

$$\int_0^1 \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\{\widehat{u}_n \neq u_n\} \cap \partial Q_s) ds = \mathcal{L}^N(\{\widehat{u}_n \neq u_n\}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and so, up to a subsequence (not relabeled),

$$\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\{\widehat{u}_n \neq u_n\} \cap \partial Q_s) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ for } \mathcal{L}^1\text{-a.e. } s \in (0, 1). \quad (3.7)$$

Fix $\delta > 0$, and in view of (3.7) choose $s_\delta \in (1 - \delta, 1)$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\{\widehat{u}_n \neq u_n\} \cap \partial Q_{s_\delta}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \nu(\partial Q_{s_\delta}) = 0. \quad (3.8)$$

Consider $m \in \mathbb{N}$ so large that $\delta + \frac{1}{m} < 1$ and let $\{\varphi_m\}$ be a sequence of smooth cut-off functions such that $\varphi_m = 1$ in Q_{s_δ} , $\varphi_m = 0$ in $Q \setminus Q_{s_\delta + \frac{1}{m}}$, and $\|\nabla \varphi_m\|_{L^\infty(Q; \mathbb{R}^N)} = O(m)$. Define

$$u_{n,m,\delta} := \begin{cases} u_n & \text{in } Q_{s_\delta}, \\ P(\varphi_m \widehat{u}_n + (1 - \varphi_m) u_0) & \text{in } Q \setminus \overline{Q_{s_\delta}}, \end{cases}$$

where P is the projection onto the sphere S^{d-1} . Note that $u_{n,m,\delta} = u_0$ on ∂Q because $Pu_0 = u_0$. Since

$$\widehat{u}_n^1 \geq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{in } (Q \setminus \overline{Q_{s_\delta}}) \cap Q^+, \quad \widehat{u}_n^d \geq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{in } (Q \setminus \overline{Q_{s_\delta}}) \cap Q^-,$$

then

$$\varphi_m \widehat{u}_n^1 + (1 - \varphi_m) u_0^1 \geq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{in } (Q \setminus \overline{Q_{s_\delta}}) \cap Q^+, \quad \varphi_m \widehat{u}_n^d + (1 - \varphi_m) u_0^d \geq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{in } (Q \setminus \overline{Q_{s_\delta}}) \cap Q^-.$$

Using the fact that the projection $P : \mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_d(0, \frac{1}{2}) \rightarrow S^{d-1}$ is Lipschitz, by Corollary 3.89 in [5],

$$\nabla u_{n,m,\delta} = \begin{cases} \nabla u_n & \text{in } Q_{s_\delta}, \\ \nabla P(\varphi_m \widehat{u}_n + (1 - \varphi_m) u_0) \nabla(\varphi_m \widehat{u}_n + (1 - \varphi_m) u_0) & \text{in } Q \setminus \overline{Q_{s_\delta}}, \end{cases} \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$S(u_{n,m,\delta}) \subset S(u_n) \cup ((Q \setminus Q_{s_\delta}) \cap \{y_N = 0\}) \cup (\partial Q_{s_\delta} \cap \{\text{tr}(\widehat{u}_n) \neq \text{tr}(u_n)\}), \quad (3.10)$$

where we have used (3.6). By (3.4), (3.5), and (3.9), we obtain

$$|\nabla u_{n,m,\delta}| \leq \text{Lip}\left(P|_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_d(0, \frac{1}{2})}\right) (|\nabla \widehat{u}_n| + |\nabla \varphi_m| |\widehat{u}_n - u_0|) \leq C(|\nabla u_n| + m |\widehat{u}_n - u_0|)$$

in $Q_{s_\delta + \frac{1}{m}} \setminus \overline{Q_{s_\delta}}$, and so

$$\int_Q |\nabla u_{n,m,\delta}|^p dy \leq C \left(\int_Q |\nabla u_n|^p dy + m^p \int_Q |\widehat{u}_n - u_0|^p dy \right).$$

Since $\widehat{u}_n \rightarrow u_0$ in $L^p(Q; \mathbb{R}^d)$, also by (3.3), we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_Q |\nabla u_{n,m,\delta}|^p dy = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

On the other hand, by (G_2) , (3.6), and (3.10), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S(u_{n,m,\delta})} g(\omega_n, u_{n,m,\delta}^+, u_{n,m,\delta}^-, \nu_{u_{n,m,\delta}}) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \leq \int_{S(u_n)} g(\omega_n, u_n^+, u_n^-, \nu_{u_n}) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \\ & + C\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\{\widehat{u}_n \neq u_n\} \cap \partial Q_{s_\delta}) + C\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S(u_n) \cap \left(Q_{s_\delta + \frac{1}{m}} \setminus Q_{s_\delta}\right)\right) + C\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\{y_N = 0\} \cap (Q \setminus Q_{s_\delta})). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using (3.8) and the fact that $\nu_n \xrightarrow{*} \nu$ in the sense of measures, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S(u_{n,m,\delta})} g(\omega_n, u_{n,m,\delta}^+, u_{n,m,\delta}^-, \nu_{u_{n,m,\delta}}) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \\ & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S(u_n)} g(\omega_n, u_n^+, u_n^-, \nu_{u_n}) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} + C\nu\left(\overline{Q_{s_\delta + \frac{1}{m}}} \setminus Q_{s_\delta}\right) + C\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\{y_N = 0\} \cap (Q \setminus Q_{s_\delta})). \end{aligned}$$

When $m \rightarrow \infty$ we have that

$$\nu\left(\overline{Q_{s_\delta + \frac{1}{m}}} \setminus Q_{s_\delta}\right) \rightarrow \nu(\partial Q_{s_\delta}) = 0$$

by (3.8). It suffices to let $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$ to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S(u_{n,m,\delta})} g(\omega_n, u_{n,m,\delta}^+, u_{n,m,\delta}^-, \nu_{u_{n,m,\delta}}) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \\ & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S(u_n)} g(\omega_n, u_n^+, u_n^-, \nu_{u_n}) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This, together with (3.11), and a simple diagonalization argument, yields the desired result. \square

The next lemma allows us to work with sequences in $SBV_0(\Omega; S^{d-1})$ in order to use the BV -ellipticity condition. A similar argument already appears in Theorem 3.3 of [2].

Lemma 3.3. *Let $a, b \in S^{d-1}$, $\nu \in S^{N-1}$, let $\{u_n\} \subset SBV_p(Q_\nu; S^{d-1})$, $p > 1$, be a sequence of functions satisfying*

$$\sup_n \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S(u_n)) < \infty, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{Q_\nu} |\nabla u_n|^p dy = 0, \quad (3.12)$$

and $u_n = u_{a,b,\nu}$ in a neighborhood of ∂Q_ν (depending on n).

Then there exists a sequence $\{\tilde{v}_n\} \subset SBV_0(Q_\nu; S^{d-1})$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\tilde{v}_n - u_n\|_{L^\infty(Q_\nu; S^{d-1})} = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S(\tilde{v}_n) \setminus S(u_n)) = 0,$$

and $\tilde{v}_n = u_{a,b,\nu}$ in a neighborhood of ∂Q_ν .

Proof. Let k_n be the integer part of $\left(\int_{Q_\nu} |\nabla u_n| dy\right)^{-1/2}$, so that $k_n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$k_n \int_{Q_\nu} |\nabla u_n| dy \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Take n so large that $1/k_n < |b^i - a^i|$, whenever $b^i \neq a^i$, $i = 1, \dots, d$, where a^i and b^i are the components of the vectors a and b , respectively. For every $j = 0, \dots, 3k_n - 1$ and every $i = 1, \dots, d$, by the coarea formula (see (2.2)), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{\alpha_j < u_n^i \leq \alpha_{j+1}\}} |\nabla u_n^i| dy &= |Du_n^i|((Q_\nu \setminus S(u_n^i)) \cap \{\alpha_j < u_n^i \leq \alpha_{j+1}\}) \\ &= \int_{\alpha_j}^{\alpha_{j+1}} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}((Q_\nu \setminus S(u_n^i)) \cap \partial^* \{y \in Q_\nu : u_n^i(y) > t\}) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_0 := -2$, $\alpha_j := -2 + \frac{j}{k_n}$, $\alpha_{3k_n} := 1$, and so there exists $t_j^i \in (\alpha_j, \alpha_{j+1})$ such that

$$\int_{\{\alpha_j < u_n^i \leq \alpha_{j+1}\}} |\nabla u_n^i| dy \geq \frac{1}{k_n} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}((Q_\nu \setminus S(u_n^i)) \cap \partial^* \{y \in Q_\nu : u_n^i(y) > t_j^i\}).$$

Summing over j yields

$$\int_{Q_\nu} |\nabla u_n^i| \, dy \geq \frac{1}{k_n} \sum_{j=0}^{3k_n-1} \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \left((\overline{Q}_\nu \setminus S(u_n^i)) \cap \partial^* \{y \in \overline{Q}_\nu : u_n^i(y) > t_j^i\} \right) \, dt.$$

Let $t_{-1}^i := -2$, $t_{3k_n}^i := 1$, and for $j \in \{-1, \dots, 3k_n - 1\}$ set

$$E_j^i := \left\{ y \in Q_\nu : t_j^i < u_n^i(y) \leq t_{j+1}^i \right\},$$

$$v_n^i(y) := \begin{cases} a^i & \text{if } y \in E_j^i \text{ and } t_j^i < a^i \leq t_{j+1}^i, \\ b^i & \text{if } y \in E_j^i \text{ and } t_j^i < b^i \leq t_{j+1}^i, \\ t_j^i & \text{otherwise in } E_j^i. \end{cases}$$

Since $\frac{1}{k_n} < |b^i - a^i|$ whenever $b^i \neq a^i$, then either a^i or b^i is in $(t_j^i, t_{j+1}^i]$ but not both simultaneously, so v_n^i is well-defined and $\|v_n - u_n\|_{L^\infty(Q_\nu; \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \frac{\sqrt{d}}{k_n}$.

Moreover, $v_n = u_{a,b,\nu}$ in a neighborhood of ∂Q_ν . Indeed, since $u_n = u_{a,b,\nu}$ in a neighborhood of ∂Q_ν , if $y_0 \in \partial Q_\nu$ and $y_0 \cdot \nu > 0$, then $u_n^i(y) = b^i$ for all $y \in Q_\nu$ near y_0 . Using the fact that for every fixed $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ the family $\{E_j^i\}_{j=-1}^{3k_n-1}$ is a partition of Q_ν , there is $j \in \{-1, \dots, 3k_n - 1\}$ such that $y \in E_j^i$ for all y near y_0 with $t_j^i < b^i < u_n^i(y) \leq t_{j+1}^i$. Thus $v_n^i(y) = b^i$ for all such y , by the definition of v_n^i . In turn, $v_n^i(y_0) = b^i$. Similarly, $v_n(y) = a$ for all $y \in \partial Q_\nu$ with $y \cdot \nu < 0$.

We have

$$S(v_n) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^d \bigcup_{j=0}^{3k_n-1} \partial^* E_j^i \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^d \bigcup_{j=0}^{3k_n-1} \partial^* \{y \in Q_\nu : u_n^i(y) > t_j^i\},$$

so that

$$\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S(v_n) \setminus S(u_n)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=0}^{3k_n-1} \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \left((Q_\nu \setminus S(u_n^i)) \cap \partial^* \{y \in Q_\nu : u_n^i > t_j^i\} \right) \leq C k_n \int_{Q_\nu} |\nabla u_n| \, dy \rightarrow 0,$$

where we have used (3.12). Moreover, for $y \in Q_\nu$ we have

$$1 = |u_n(y)| \leq |u_n(y) - v_n(y)| + |v_n(y)| \leq \frac{\sqrt{d}}{k_n} + |v_n(y)|.$$

Hence, $|v_n(y)| \geq 1 - \frac{\sqrt{d}}{k_n} \geq \frac{1}{2}$ for \mathcal{L}^N -a.e. $y \in Q_\nu$ and for all n sufficiently large. Define $\tilde{v}_n := P(v_n)$. Then

$$\|\tilde{v}_n - u_n\|_{L^\infty(Q_\nu; \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \|v_n - u_n\|_{L^\infty(Q_\nu; \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \frac{C}{k_n} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $P : \mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_d(0, \frac{1}{2}) \rightarrow S^{d-1}$ is Lipschitz, by Corollary 3.1 in [3], $\tilde{v}_n \in SBV_0(Q_\nu; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $S(\tilde{v}_n) \subset S(v_n)$. Thus, $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S(\tilde{v}_n) \setminus S(u_n)) \rightarrow 0$ and the proof is complete. \square

Remark 3.4. Consider the function $\psi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\psi(z) := |z|^2$, $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Since ψ is locally Lipschitz, for any $u \in SBV_p(\Omega; S^{d-1})$ we have $\psi \circ u \in SBV_p(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ by Corollary 3.1 in [3], and $0 = \nabla(\psi \circ u) = \nabla\psi(u) \nabla u = 2(\nabla u)^T u$ \mathcal{L}^N -a.e. in Ω . Hence,

$$(\nabla u(x))^T u(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } \mathcal{L}^N\text{-a.e. } x \in \Omega. \quad (3.13)$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_A f(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) dx + \int_{S(u_n) \cap A} g(x, u_n^+, u_n^-, \nu_{u_n}) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A f(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) dx + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S(u_n) \cap A} g(x, u_n^+, u_n^-, \nu_{u_n}) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

By the coercivity conditions (F₂) and (G₂), up to a subsequence (not relabeled), there exists a nonnegative Radon measure $\mu : \mathcal{B}(A) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, where $\mathcal{B}(A)$ is the family of all Borel subsets of A , such that

$$f(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \mathcal{L}^N \llcorner A + g(x, u_n^+, u_n^-, \nu_{u_n}) \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \llcorner (S(u_n) \cap A) \xrightarrow{*} \mu$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, weakly star in the sense of measures.

By the Radon-Nikodym and Lebesgue decomposition theorems (see [29] Theorems 1.101 and 1.115, respectively), we can write μ as a sum of three mutually singular nonnegative measures

$$\mu = \frac{d\mu}{d\mathcal{L}^N \llcorner A} \mathcal{L}^N \llcorner A + \frac{d\mu}{d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \llcorner S(u)} \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \llcorner (S(u) \cap A) + \mu_s.$$

By the Besicovitch derivation theorem (see [29] Theorem 1.153)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mu}{d\mathcal{L}^N \llcorner A}(x_0) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mu(B(x_0, \varepsilon))}{\mathcal{L}^N(B(x_0, \varepsilon))} < \infty \quad \text{for } \mathcal{L}^N\text{-a.e. } x_0 \in A, \\ \frac{d\mu}{d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \llcorner S(u)}(x_0) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mu(Q_\nu(x_0, \varepsilon))}{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S(u) \cap Q_\nu(x_0, \varepsilon))} < \infty \quad \text{for } \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\text{-a.e. } x_0 \in S(u) \cap A. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.83 in [5], it follows that

$$\frac{d\mu}{d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \llcorner S(u)}(x_0) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mu(Q_\nu(x_0, \varepsilon))}{\varepsilon^{N-1}}$$

for \mathcal{H}^{N-1} -a.e. $x_0 \in S(u) \cap A$.

We claim that

$$\frac{d\mu}{d\mathcal{L}^N \llcorner A}(x_0) \geq Q_T f(x_0, u(x_0), \nabla u(x_0)) \quad \text{for } \mathcal{L}^N\text{-a.e. } x_0 \in A, \quad (3.14)$$

$$\frac{d\mu}{d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \llcorner S(u)}(x_0) \geq Rg(x_0, u^+(x_0), u^-(x_0), \nu_u(x_0)) \quad (3.15)$$

for \mathcal{H}^{N-1} -a.e. $x_0 \in S(u) \cap A$.

If (3.14) and (3.15) hold, then the conclusion of the theorem follows immediately. Indeed, since $\mu_n \xrightarrow{*} \mu$ in the sense of measures,

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_A f(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) dx + \int_{S(u_n) \cap A} g(x, u_n^+, u_n^-, \nu_{u_n}) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \right) \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(A) \geq \mu(A) \geq \int_A \frac{d\mu}{d\mathcal{L}^N \llcorner A} dx + \int_{S(u) \cap A} \frac{d\mu}{d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \llcorner S(u)} d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \\ &\geq \int_A Q_T f(x, u, \nabla u) dx + \int_{S(u) \cap A} Rg(x, u^+, u^-, \nu_u) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $\mu_s \geq 0$.

Step 1- Let $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a continuous function such that $\varphi = 0$ in $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and $\varphi = 1$ in $[1, \infty)$. Define $\tilde{f} : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times N} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$\tilde{f}(x, z, \xi) := \begin{cases} \varphi(|z|) f\left(x, \frac{z}{|z|}, P_z \xi\right) + (1 - \varphi(|z|)) |\xi|^p & \text{if } z \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } z = 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.16)$$

where $P_z \xi$ is defined in (2.8). Observe that \tilde{f} is a Carathéodory function satisfying

$$0 \leq \tilde{f}(x, z, \xi) \leq C(1 + |\xi|^p)$$

for \mathcal{L}^N -a.e. $x \in \Omega$, for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}$. Moreover, by (2.6),

$$Q\tilde{f}(x, z, \xi) = Q_T f(x, z, \xi) \quad (3.17)$$

for \mathcal{L}^N -a.e. $x \in \Omega$, for all $z \in S^{d-1}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}$.

We denote by B_1 the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^N . Fix $x_0 \in A$ satisfying a), b), c) and d) in the proof of Theorem 5.29 in [5] and such that

$$(\nabla u(x_0))^T u(x_0) = 0, \quad (3.18)$$

where we have used Remark 3.4.

Choosing $\varepsilon_k \searrow 0^+$ such that $\mu(\partial B(x_0, \varepsilon_k)) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mu}{d\mathcal{L}^N \lfloor A}(x_0) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(B(x_0, \varepsilon_k))}{\omega_N \varepsilon_k^N} \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\omega_N \varepsilon_k^N} \left(\int_{B(x_0, \varepsilon_k)} f(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) dx + \int_{S(u_n) \cap B(x_0, \varepsilon_k)} g(x, u_n^+, u_n^-, \nu_{u_n}) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \right) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\omega_N} \left(\int_{B_1} f(x_0 + \varepsilon_k y, u(x_0) + \varepsilon_k w_{n,k}, \nabla w_{n,k}) dy \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_k} \int_{S(w_{n,k}) \cap B_1} g(x_0 + \varepsilon_k y, u(x_0) + \varepsilon_k w_{n,k}^+, u(x_0) + \varepsilon_k w_{n,k}^-, \nu_{w_{n,k}}) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$w_{n,k}(y) := \frac{u_n(x_0 + \varepsilon_k y) - u(x_0)}{\varepsilon_k}.$$

Clearly, $w_{n,k} \in SBV_p(B_1; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $w_{n,k} \rightarrow w_0$ in $L^1(B_1; \mathbb{R}^d)$, where $w_0(y) := \nabla u(x_0)y$, $y \in B_1$. By the choice of x_0 and the coercivity conditions (F_2) and (G_2) we have

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_1} |\nabla w_{n,k}|^p dy < \infty, \quad \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S(w_{n,k}) \cap B_1)}{\varepsilon_k} < \infty. \quad (3.19)$$

By a standard diagonalization argument we can extract a subsequence $w_k := w_{n_k, k}$ that converges to w_0 in $L^1(B_1; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S(w_k) \cap B_1) = 0, \quad \sup_k \left(\int_{B_1} |\nabla w_k|^p dy + \int_{S(w_k) \cap B_1} |[w_k]| d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \right) < \infty, \quad (3.20)$$

$$\frac{d\mu}{d\mathcal{L}^N \lfloor A}(x_0) \geq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\omega_N} \int_{B_1} f(x_0 + \varepsilon_k y, u(x_0) + \varepsilon_k w_k, \nabla w_k) dy,$$

where we used the facts that $g \geq 0$, $|[w_{n,k}]| \leq \frac{2}{\varepsilon_k}$, and $(3.19)_2$. Since $|u(x_0) + \varepsilon_k w_k(y)| = |u_{n_k}(x_0 + \varepsilon_k y)| = 1$ for \mathcal{L}^N -a.e. $y \in B_1$, then by (3.13),

$$(\nabla(u(x_0) + \varepsilon_k w_k(y)))^T (u(x_0) + \varepsilon_k w_k(y)) = \varepsilon_k (\nabla w_k(y))^T (u(x_0) + \varepsilon_k w_k(y)) = 0.$$

Hence, using the fact that for $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}$, $(z \otimes z) \xi = z \otimes (\xi^T z)$, we have that

$$[\mathbb{I} - (u(x_0) + \varepsilon_k w_k(y)) \otimes (u(x_0) + \varepsilon_k w_k(y))] \nabla w_k(y) = \nabla w_k(y),$$

and so (3.20)₃ may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mu}{d\mathcal{L}^N \lfloor A} (x_0) &\geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\omega_N} \int_{B_1} \tilde{f}(x_0 + \varepsilon_k y, u(x_0) + \varepsilon_k w_k, \nabla w_k) dy \\ &\geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\omega_N} \int_{B_1} Q\tilde{f}(x_0 + \varepsilon_k y, u(x_0) + \varepsilon_k w_k, \nabla w_k) dy, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $\tilde{f} \geq Q\tilde{f}$ and \tilde{f} is the function defined in (3.16).

In view of (3.20)₂, it follows that

$$\frac{d\mu}{d\mathcal{L}^N \lfloor A} (x_0) \geq \limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\omega_N} \int_{B_1} \left[Q\tilde{f}(x_0 + \varepsilon_k y, u(x_0) + \varepsilon_k w_k, \nabla w_k) + \delta |\nabla w_k|^p \right] dy. \quad (3.21)$$

For each $\delta > 0$ fixed, we proceed as in the proof of Theorem 5.29 in [5] (applied to the quasiconvex integrand $Q\tilde{f}(x, z, \xi) + \delta |\xi|^p$) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\omega_N} \int_{B_1} \left[Q\tilde{f}(x_0 + \varepsilon_k y, u(x_0) + \varepsilon_k w_k, \nabla w_k) + \delta |\nabla w_k|^p \right] dy \\ &\geq Q\tilde{f}(x_0, u(x_0), \nabla u(x_0)) + \delta |\nabla u(x_0)|^p = Q_T f(x_0, u(x_0), \nabla u(x_0)) + \delta |\nabla u(x_0)|^p, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (2.6) and the fact that $[\mathbb{I} - u(x_0) \otimes u(x_0)] \nabla u(x_0) = \nabla u(x_0)$, since $(\nabla u(x_0))^T u(x_0) = 0$ by (3.18). This, together with (3.21), yields (3.14).

Step 2- To prove (3.15), fix $x_0 \in S(u) \cap A$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mu}{d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \lfloor S(u)} (x_0) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mu(Q_\nu(x_0, \varepsilon))}{\varepsilon^{N-1}} < \infty, \quad (3.22) \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_k^N} \int_{Q_\nu(x_0, \varepsilon_k)} |u(x) - u_{x_0, \nu}(x)| dx &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\nu := \nu_u(x_0)$ and

$$u_{x_0, \nu}(y) := \begin{cases} u^+(x_0) & \text{if } y \cdot \nu > 0, \\ u^-(x_0) & \text{if } y \cdot \nu \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Using the fact that μ is a Radon measure, we may choose $\varepsilon_k \searrow 0^+$ such that $\mu(\partial Q_\nu(x_0, \varepsilon_k)) = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(Q_\nu(x_0, \varepsilon_k))}{\varepsilon_k^{N-1}} = \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_k^{N-1}} \left(\int_{Q_\nu(x_0, \varepsilon_k)} f(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) dx + \int_{S(u_n) \cap Q_\nu(x_0, \varepsilon_k)} g(x, u_n^+, u_n^-, \nu_{u_n}) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \right) \quad (3.23) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{Q_\nu} \varepsilon_k f\left(x_0 + \varepsilon_k y, v_{n,k}, \frac{1}{\varepsilon_k} \nabla v_{n,k}\right) dy + \int_{S(v_{n,k}) \cap Q_\nu} g\left(x_0 + \varepsilon_k y, v_{n,k}^+, v_{n,k}^-, \nu_{v_{n,k}}\right) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$v_{n,k}(y) := u_n(x_0 + \varepsilon_k y), \quad y \in Q_\nu.$$

Note that $v_{n,k} \in SBV_p(Q_\nu; S^{d-1})$, and by (3.22)₂, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_{n,k} - u_{x_0, \nu}\|_{L^1(Q_\nu; S^{d-1})} = 0$. Moreover, by (3.22)₁, (3.23), and the coercivity hypotheses on f and g , we have that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_k^{p-1}} \int_{Q_\nu} |\nabla v_{n,k}|^p dy < \infty, \quad \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S(v_{n,k})) < \infty. \quad (3.24)$$

By a standard diagonalization argument, we may extract a subsequence $v_k := v_{n_k, k}$ that converges to $u_{x_0, \nu}$ in $L^1(Q_\nu; S^{d-1})$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{Q_\nu} |\nabla v_k|^p dy = 0, \quad C_0 := \sup_k \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S(v_k)) < \infty, \quad (3.25)$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(Q_\nu(x_0, \varepsilon_k))}{\varepsilon_k^{N-1}} \geq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S(v_k)} g(x_0 + \varepsilon_k y, v_k^+, v_k^-, \nu_{v_k}) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}. \quad (3.26)$$

By Lemma 3.2 there exists $\{\bar{v}_k\} \subset SBV_p(Q_\nu; S^{d-1})$ such that $\bar{v}_k \rightarrow u_{x_0, \nu}$ in $L^1(Q_\nu; \mathbb{R}^d)$, $\bar{v}_k = u_{x_0, \nu}$ in a neighborhood of ∂Q_ν ,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{Q_\nu} |\nabla \bar{v}_k|^p dy = 0,$$

and

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S(\bar{v}_k)} g(x_0 + \varepsilon_k y, \bar{v}_k^+, \bar{v}_k^-, \nu_{\bar{v}_k}) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S(v_k)} g(x_0 + \varepsilon_k y, v_k^+, v_k^-, \nu_{v_k}) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}. \quad (3.27)$$

Since g is uniformly continuous on $\overline{Q(x_0, r)} \times S^{d-1} \times S^{d-1} \times S^{N-1}$, where $\overline{Q(x_0, r)} \subset \Omega$, for $\eta > 0$ fixed there exists $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$|g(x, \lambda, \theta, \nu) - g(x_1, \lambda_1, \theta_1, \nu)| \leq \eta \quad (3.28)$$

for all $x, x_1 \in \overline{Q(x_0, r)}$, $\lambda, \lambda_1, \theta, \theta_1 \in S^{d-1}$, $\nu \in S^{N-1}$, with $|x - x_1| < \delta$, $|\lambda - \lambda_1| < \delta$, $|\theta - \theta_1| < \delta$.

By Lemma 3.3, we can find a sequence $\{\tilde{v}_k\} \subset SBV_0(Q_\nu; S^{d-1})$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\tilde{v}_k - \bar{v}_k\|_{L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)} = 0, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}((S(\tilde{v}_k) \setminus S(\bar{v}_k))) = 0, \quad (3.29)$$

and $\tilde{v}_k = u_{x_0, \nu}$ on ∂Q_ν . Using the facts that $g \geq 0$ and $(\bar{v}_k^+, \bar{v}_k^-, \nu_{\bar{v}_k}) \sim (\tilde{v}_k^+, \tilde{v}_k^-, \nu_{\tilde{v}_k})$ \mathcal{H}^{N-1} -a.e. in $S(\bar{v}_k) \cap S(\tilde{v}_k)$ (see Proposition 3.73(b) in [5]) in the sense of (2.1), (G₃), (3.28), (3.25)₂, and (3.29), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S(\bar{v}_k)} g(x_0 + \varepsilon_k y, \bar{v}_k^+, \bar{v}_k^-, \nu_{\bar{v}_k}) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} &\geq \int_{S(\bar{v}_k)} g(x_0, \bar{v}_k^+, \bar{v}_k^-, \nu_{\bar{v}_k}) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} - \eta C_0 \\ &\geq \int_{S(\bar{v}_k) \cap S(\tilde{v}_k)} g(x_0, \bar{v}_k^+, \bar{v}_k^-, \nu_{\bar{v}_k}) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} - \eta C_0 \\ &\geq \int_{S(\bar{v}_k) \cap S(\tilde{v}_k)} g(x_0, \tilde{v}_k^+, \tilde{v}_k^-, \nu_{\tilde{v}_k}) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} - 2\eta C_0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by the growth condition (G₂) and (3.29)₂, we obtain,

$$\int_{(S(\tilde{v}_k) \setminus S(\bar{v}_k))} g(x_0, \tilde{v}_k^+, \tilde{v}_k^-, \nu_{\tilde{v}_k}) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \leq C \mathcal{H}^{N-1}((S(\tilde{v}_k) \setminus S(\bar{v}_k))) \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S(\bar{v}_k)} g(x_0 + \varepsilon_k y, \bar{v}_k^+, \bar{v}_k^-, \nu_{\bar{v}_k}) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} &\geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S(\tilde{v}_k)} g(x_0, \tilde{v}_k^+, \tilde{v}_k^-, \nu_{\tilde{v}_k}) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} - 2\eta C_0 \\ &\geq Rg(x_0, u^+(x_0), u^-(x_0), \nu_{u(x_0)}(x_0)) - 2\eta C_0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

where in the last inequality we have used (2.10), the facts that $\tilde{v}_k = u_{x_0, \nu}$ on ∂Q_ν and $\tilde{v}_k \in SBV_0(Q_\nu; S^{d-1})$. Combining (3.26), (3.27), and (3.30) yields

$$\frac{d\mu}{d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \llcorner S(u)}(x_0) \geq Rg(x_0, u^+(x_0), u^-(x_0), \nu_u) - 2\eta C_0.$$

It now suffices to let $\eta \rightarrow 0^+$. □

4. UPPER BOUND

In this section we prove the opposite inequality of (3.2) for functions $u \in SBV_p(\Omega; S^{d-1})$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be open and bounded. Assume that*

$$p = 2$$

and that $f : \Omega \times S^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times N} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $g : \Omega \times S^{d-1} \times S^{d-1} \times S^{N-1} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfy hypotheses (F_1) , (F_2) and (G_1) , (G_2) , (G_3) , in the introduction, respectively. Then for every $u \in SBV_2(\Omega; S^{d-1})$, $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$, there exists a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset SBV_2(A; S^{d-1})$ converging to u in $L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and such that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(u_n; A) \leq \bar{F}(u; A), \quad (4.1)$$

where \bar{F} is the functional defined in (3.1).

To prove (4.1), we first show that $\mathcal{F}(u; \cdot)$ is the restriction to $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ of a Radon measure for all functions $u \in SBV_p(\Omega; S^{d-1})$ with the property that there exist a closed $(N-1)$ -rectifiable set K and a constant $C > 0$ such that $u \in C(\Omega \setminus K; S^{d-1})$ and for every compact set $K' \subset K$,

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathcal{L}^N(\{x \in \Omega : \text{dist}(x, K') < \varepsilon\})}{\varepsilon} \leq C \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(K'). \quad (4.2)$$

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be open and bounded. Assume that $f : \Omega \times S^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times N} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $g : \Omega \times S^{d-1} \times S^{d-1} \times S^{N-1} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfy hypotheses (F_1) , (F_2) and (G_1) , (G_2) , (G_3) , in the introduction, respectively and let $u \in SBV_p(\Omega; S^{d-1})$, $p > 1$, be such that $u \in C(\Omega \setminus K; S^{d-1})$, where $K \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a closed $(N-1)$ -rectifiable set satisfying (4.2). Then $\mathcal{F}(u; \cdot)$ is the restriction to $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ of a Radon measure absolutely continuous with respect to the measure*

$$(1 + |\nabla u|^p) \mathcal{L}^N \llcorner \Omega + \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \llcorner S(u).$$

The following lemma plays a central role in the proof of Theorem 4.2.

Lemma 4.3. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 4.2, let $u \in SBV_p(\Omega; S^{d-1})$ be such that $u \in C(\Omega \setminus K; S^{d-1})$, where K is a closed $(N-1)$ -rectifiable set satisfying (4.2), and let $\{u_n\} \subset SBV_p(A; S^{d-1})$ converge to u in $L^1(A; \mathbb{R}^d)$ for some $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$. Given an open set $B_0 \subset\subset A$ with polyhedral boundary and such that $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial B_0 \cap K) = 0$, there exists a sequence $\{v_n\} \subset SBV_p(A; S^{d-1})$, converging to u in $L^1(A; \mathbb{R}^d)$, and such that $v_n = u$ in a neighborhood of ∂B_0 (depending on n) and*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(v_n; A) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(u_n; A).$$

Proof. By extracting subsequences, if necessary, we may assume that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(u_n; A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(u_n; A) < \infty, \quad (4.3)$$

and, by (F_2) and (G_2) , that the sequence of measures

$$\mu_n := (1 + |\nabla u_n|^p) \mathcal{L}^N \llcorner A + \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \llcorner (S(u_n) \cap A)$$

weakly star converges in the sense of measures to some nonnegative Radon measure $\mu : \mathcal{B}(A) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$.

Since B_0 has polyhedral boundary, we may write $\partial B_0 = \bigcup_{i=1}^M P_i$, where

$$P_i \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : (x - a_i) \cdot \nu_i = 0\},$$

with $a_i \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $\nu_i \in S^{N-1}$, $i = 1, \dots, M$.

For $t > 0$ set

$$E_t^1 := \{x \in A : \text{dist}(x, \partial B_0 \cap K) \leq t\}, \quad E_t^2 := \{x \in A : \text{dist}(x, \cup_{i \neq j} (P_i \cap P_j)) \leq t\}, \quad (4.4)$$

and

$$E_t := E_t^1 \cup E_t^2.$$

By (4.2),

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathcal{L}^N(\{x \in A : \text{dist}(x, \partial B_0 \cap K) < \varepsilon\})}{\varepsilon} \leq C \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial B_0 \cap K) = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

In particular,

$$\mathcal{L}^N(E_t^1) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0^+. \quad (4.6)$$

Consider the function

$$f(x) := \text{dist}(x, \partial B_0 \cap K), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

It is well-known that f is Lipschitz, and thus by the coarea formula (see (2.74) in [5]) we have that

$$\int_{\{x \in A : 0 < f(x) < \varepsilon\}} |\nabla f(x)| \, dx = \int_0^\varepsilon \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\{x \in A : f(x) = s\}) \, ds. \quad (4.7)$$

By Corollary 3.4.5 in [17] we have that $|\nabla f(x)| = 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus (\partial B_0 \cap K)$ such that f is differentiable at x . Hence, also by Rademacker's theorem (see Theorem 2.14 in [5]) we have that

$$\int_{\{x \in A : 0 < f(x) < \varepsilon\}} |\nabla f(x)| \, dx = \mathcal{L}^N(\{x \in A : 0 < f(x) < \varepsilon\}),$$

which, together with (4.7), yields

$$\mathcal{L}^N(\{x \in A : \text{dist}(x, \partial B_0 \cap K) < \varepsilon\}) = \int_0^\varepsilon \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\{x \in A : \text{dist}(x, \partial B_0 \cap K) = s\}) \, ds = \int_0^\varepsilon \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial E_s^1) \, ds.$$

Thus, by (4.5) there exists $s_\varepsilon \in (\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \varepsilon)$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial E_{s_\varepsilon}^1) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+. \quad (4.8)$$

Set

$$B_\varepsilon := \left\{x \in A : \text{dist}(x, K) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right\} \cap \{x \in A : \text{dist}(x, \partial B_0) \leq 2\varepsilon\}.$$

Since $B_0 \subset\subset A$, by taking ε sufficiently small, we have that $B_\varepsilon \subset\subset A$, and so B_ε is compact. Using the fact that $u \in C(\Omega \setminus K; S^{d-1})$, we have that $u \in C(B_\varepsilon; S^{d-1})$. Hence there exists $0 < \delta_\varepsilon < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ such that for every $x, x' \in B_\varepsilon$, with $|x - x'| < \delta_\varepsilon$,

$$|u(x) - u(x')| < \frac{1}{2\sqrt{d}}. \quad (4.9)$$

For every $i = 1, \dots, M$, let $\{R_{i,j,\varepsilon}\}_{j=1}^{M_{i,\varepsilon}}$ be a grid of closed rectangles, with mutually disjoint interiors, with centers in $P_i \setminus E_{s_\varepsilon}$ and with two sides parallel to ν_i covering $P_i \setminus E_{s_\varepsilon}$ and such that the sides parallel to ν_i have length δ_ε^2 and the sides orthogonal to ν_i have length δ_ε . Note that since the center of each $R_{i,j,\varepsilon}$ does not belong to E_{s_ε} and $\delta_\varepsilon < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ we have that $R_{i,j,\varepsilon} \subset B_\varepsilon$. As a consequence, (4.9) holds in each rectangle $R_{i,j,\varepsilon}$. Let

$$P_{i,\varepsilon} := \text{int}\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{M_{i,\varepsilon}} R_{i,j,\varepsilon}\right). \quad (4.10)$$

Observe that

$$M_{i,\varepsilon} \leq \frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(P_i)}{\delta_\varepsilon^{N-1}}. \quad (4.11)$$

Indeed,

$$\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(P_i) \geq \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(P_{i,\varepsilon} \cap P_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{M_{i,\varepsilon}} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(R_{i,j,\varepsilon} \cap P_i) = M_{i,\varepsilon} \delta_\varepsilon^{N-1}.$$

Step 1- We now modify the sequence $\{u_n\}$ in each rectangle $R_{i,j,\varepsilon}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\nu_i = e_N$ and the center of the rectangle is the origin. To simplify the notation, we denote this rectangle by R .

We will use the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 3.2. Since $|u(0)| = 1$, there is $i = 1, \dots, d$ such that $|u^i(0)| \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}$. We may assume that $i = d$ and, further, that $u^d(0) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}$ (the case $u^d(0) \leq -\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}$ is similar). By (4.9), we have

$$u^d(x) \geq u^d(0) - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{d}} \geq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{d}} \quad \text{for all } x \in R. \quad (4.12)$$

For $x \in R$ define

$$\widehat{u}_n(x) := \left(u'_n(x), \max \left(u_n^d(x), \frac{1}{4\sqrt{d}} \right) \right). \quad (4.13)$$

Reasoning as in the proof of Lemma 3.2, by Corollary 3.1 in [3] with $\psi(s) := \max \left\{ s, \frac{1}{4\sqrt{d}} \right\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \widehat{u}_n^d &= \begin{cases} 0 & \mathcal{L}^N\text{-a.e. on } R \cap \left\{ u_n^d \leq \frac{1}{4\sqrt{d}} \right\}, \\ \nabla u_n^d & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ \nabla \widehat{u}_n^i &= \nabla u_n^i, \quad i = 1, \dots, d-1, \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

and

$$S(\widehat{u}_n^d) \cap R \subset S(u_n) \cap R,$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\widehat{u}_n^d] &= \psi \left((u_n^d)^+ \right) - \psi \left((u_n^d)^- \right) \quad \text{on } S(u_n) \cap R \\ [\widehat{u}_n^i] &= [u_n^i] \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, d-1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

Observe that since $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^1(A; \mathbb{R}^d)$, by (4.12),

$$\mathcal{L}^N(\{\widehat{u}_n \neq u_n\} \cap R) \leq \mathcal{L}^N \left(\left\{ u_n^d < \frac{1}{4\sqrt{d}} \right\} \cap R \right) \leq \mathcal{L}^N \left(\left\{ |u_n - u| > \frac{1}{2\sqrt{d}} \right\} \cap R \right) \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $R^+ := R' \times \left[0, \frac{\delta_\varepsilon^2}{2}\right]$ and $R^- := R' \times \left[-\frac{\delta_\varepsilon^2}{2}, 0\right]$. By Fubini's theorem we deduce that

$$\mathcal{L}^N(\{\widehat{u}_n \neq u_n\} \cap R) = \int_{-\frac{\delta_\varepsilon^2}{2}}^{\frac{\delta_\varepsilon^2}{2}} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\{\widehat{u}_n \neq u_n\} \cap Y_s) ds \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $Y_s := R' \times \{x_N = s\}$. Hence,

$$\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\{\widehat{u}_n \neq u_n\} \cap Y_s) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ for } \mathcal{L}^1\text{-a.e. } s \in \left(-\frac{\delta_\varepsilon^2}{2}, \frac{\delta_\varepsilon^2}{2}\right).$$

Choose $s := s(\varepsilon) \in \left(0, \frac{\delta_\varepsilon^2}{2}\right)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\{\widehat{u}_n \neq u_n\} \cap Y_s) + \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\{\widehat{u}_n \neq u_n\} \cap Y_{-s}) \rightarrow 0 & \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\ \mu(Y_s) + \mu(Y_{-s}) = 0, \quad \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S(u) \cap (Y_s \cup Y_{-s})) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.16)$$

Consider $m \in \mathbb{N}$ so large that $\frac{1}{m} < s$, and let $\varphi_m \in C_c^\infty(R; [0, 1])$ be such that $\varphi_m \equiv 1$ in $R' \times \left[\left(-\frac{\delta_\varepsilon^2}{2}, -s\right) \cup \left(s, \frac{\delta_\varepsilon^2}{2}\right)\right]$, $\varphi_m \equiv 0$ in $R' \times \left(-s + \frac{1}{m}, s - \frac{1}{m}\right)$, and $\|\nabla \varphi_m\|_\infty \leq Cm$.

Define $\bar{u}_{m,n} : R \rightarrow S^{d-1}$ by

$$\bar{u}_{m,n} := \frac{\varphi_m \widehat{u}_n + (1 - \varphi_m) u}{|\varphi_m \widehat{u}_n + (1 - \varphi_m) u|}.$$

Note that $\bar{u}_{m,n}$ is well-defined since by (4.12) and (4.13) in R ,

$$\varphi_m \widehat{u}_n^d + (1 - \varphi_m) u^d \geq \varphi_m \frac{1}{4\sqrt{d}} + (1 - \varphi_m) \frac{1}{2\sqrt{d}} \geq \frac{1}{4\sqrt{d}}.$$

Using the fact that the projection $P : \mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_d(0, \frac{1}{4\sqrt{d}}) \rightarrow S^{d-1}$ is Lipschitz, by Corollary 3.1 in [3], we have that $\bar{u}_{m,n} \in SBV_p(R; S^{d-1})$ with

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla \bar{u}_{m,n}| &\leq C \operatorname{Lip} \left(P|_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_d(0, \frac{1}{4\sqrt{d}})} \right) |\nabla (\varphi_m \widehat{u}_n + (1 - \varphi_m) u)| \\ &\leq C (m |\widehat{u}_n - u| + \varphi_m |\nabla u_n| + (1 - \varphi_m) |\nabla u|) \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

in R , where we used (4.14),

$$S(\bar{u}_{m,n}) \cap R \subset (S(u_n) \cup S(u)) \cap R, \quad (4.18)$$

and

$$|[\bar{u}_{m,n}]| \leq C (|[u_n]| + |[u]|) \leq C \quad (4.19)$$

in $S(\bar{u}_{m,n}) \cap R$ by (4.15) and the fact that ψ is Lipschitz.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} R_s &:= R' \times \left(\left(-\frac{\delta_s^2}{2}, -s \right) \cup \left(s, \frac{\delta_s^2}{2} \right) \right), \\ L_{s,m} &:= R' \times \left(s - \frac{1}{m}, s \right), \quad L_{-s,m} := R' \times \left(-s, -s + \frac{1}{m} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and define $u_{m,n} : R \rightarrow S^{d-1}$ by

$$u_{m,n} := \begin{cases} \bar{u}_{m,n} & \text{on } R \setminus R_s, \\ u_n & \text{in } R_s. \end{cases} \quad (4.20)$$

Note that

$$u_{m,n} = u \quad \text{in } R' \times \left(-s + \frac{1}{m}, s - \frac{1}{m} \right). \quad (4.21)$$

We claim that

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{m,n} \leq C \int_{R' \times (-s, s)} (1 + |\nabla u|^p) dx + C \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S(u) \cap (R' \times (-s, s))), \quad (4.22)$$

where

$$I_{m,n} := F(u_{m,n}; R) - F(u_n; R). \quad (4.23)$$

To prove this, note that by Corollary 3.89 in [5], $u_{m,n} \in SBV_p(R; S^{d-1})$, with

$$\nabla u_{m,n} = \begin{cases} \nabla \bar{u}_{m,n} & \mathcal{L}^N\text{-a.e. on } R \setminus R_s, \\ \nabla u_n & \mathcal{L}^N\text{-a.e. in } R_s, \end{cases} \quad (4.24)$$

$$S(u_{m,n}) \cap R \subset (S(u_n) \cup S(u) \cup (Y_s \cup Y_{-s})) \cap R, \quad (4.25)$$

and

$$|[u_{m,n}]| = \begin{cases} |[u_n]| & \text{on } S(u_n) \cap R_s, \\ |[\bar{u}_{m,n}]| & \text{on } S(\bar{u}_{m,n}) \cap (R \setminus R_s), \\ |\operatorname{tr}(u_n) - \operatorname{tr}(\widehat{u}_n)| & \text{on } \{\operatorname{tr}(u_n) \neq \operatorname{tr}(\widehat{u}_n)\} \cap (Y_s \cup Y_{-s}), \end{cases} \quad (4.26)$$

where we have used (4.19) and the fact that

$$\operatorname{tr}(\bar{u}_{m,n}) = \operatorname{tr}(\widehat{u}_n) \quad \text{on } Y_s \cup Y_{-s}.$$

By (F₂), (G₂), and (4.17)-(4.26), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{m,n} &= F(u_{m,n}; R) - F(u_n; R) \leq C \int_{R' \times (-s + \frac{1}{m}, s - \frac{1}{m})} (1 + |\nabla u|^p) \, dx \\
&\quad + C\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S(u) \cap (R' \times (-s, s))) \\
&\quad + C \int_{L_{-s,m} \cup L_{s,m}} (1 + m^p |\hat{u}_n - u|^p + |\nabla u_n|^p + |\nabla u|^p) \, dx \\
&\quad + C\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S(u_n) \cap (L_{-s,m} \cup L_{s,m})) + C\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\{\hat{u}_n \neq u_n\} \cap Y_{-s}) \\
&\quad + C\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\{\hat{u}_n \neq u_n\} \cap Y_s).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\hat{u}_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^p(R; \mathbb{R}^d)$ (since $\hat{u}_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^1(R; \mathbb{R}^d)$) and the sequence is bounded in $L^\infty(R; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mu_n \xrightarrow{*} \mu$ in the sense of measures, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{m,n} &\leq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} C \left(\int_{L_{-s,m} \cup L_{s,m} \cup R' \times (-s + \frac{1}{m}, s - \frac{1}{m})} (1 + |\nabla u|^p) \, dx \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S(u) \cap R' \times (-s, s)) + \mu(\overline{L_{-s,m}} \cup \overline{L_{s,m}}) \right) \\
&= C \int_{R' \times (-s, s)} (1 + |\nabla u|^p) \, dx + C\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S(u) \cap (R' \times (-s, s))),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

where we have used (4.16). This proves the claim.

Step 2- For every $i = 1, \dots, M$ and $j = 1, \dots, M_{i,\varepsilon}$, let $u_{\varepsilon,m,n}^{i,j} : R_{i,j,\varepsilon} \rightarrow S^{d-1}$ be the sequence defined in (4.20), and let $v_{\varepsilon,m,n} : \Omega \rightarrow S^{d-1}$ be given by

$$v_{\varepsilon,m,n}(x) := \begin{cases} u(x) & \text{if } x \in E_{s_\varepsilon}, \\ u_{\varepsilon,m,n}^{i,j}(x) & \text{if } x \in R_{i,j,\varepsilon} \setminus E_{s_\varepsilon}, \\ u_n(x) & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

By Corollary 3.89 in [5], we have that $v_{\varepsilon,m,n} \in SBV_p(\Omega; S^{d-1})$. Moreover, since $u_{\varepsilon,m,n}^{i,j} = u_n$ on the top and on the bottom of each rectangle $R_{i,j,\varepsilon}$, the only new jumps created are contained on the lateral sides of each rectangle $R_{i,j,\varepsilon}$ and on the boundary of E_{s_ε} . Thus by (F₂) and (G₂), (4.22), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
F(v_{\varepsilon,m,n}; A) &\leq F(u_n; A \setminus \cup_{i=1}^M P_{i,\varepsilon}) + F(u; E_{s_\varepsilon}) + \sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{j=1}^{M_{i,\varepsilon}} F(u_{\varepsilon,m,n}^{i,j}; R_{i,\varepsilon}) \\
&\quad + C \sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{j=1}^{M_{i,\varepsilon}} \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq j}}^{M_{i,\varepsilon}} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial R_{i,j,\varepsilon} \cap \partial R_{i,l,\varepsilon}) + C\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial E_{s_\varepsilon}) \\
&\leq F(u_n; A) + F(u; E_{s_\varepsilon}) + \sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{j=1}^{M_{i,\varepsilon}} I_{\varepsilon,m,n}^{i,j} \\
&\quad + C \sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{j=1}^{M_{i,\varepsilon}} \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq j}}^{M_{i,\varepsilon}} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial R_{i,j,\varepsilon} \cap \partial R_{i,l,\varepsilon}) + C\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial E_{s_\varepsilon}),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

where in the last inequality we have used (4.27) and $I_{\varepsilon,m,n}^{i,j}$ is the expression $I_{m,n}$ defined in (4.23) for each rectangle $R_{i,j,\varepsilon}$. For each $i = 1, \dots, M$ and $j = 1, \dots, M_{i,\varepsilon}$ the number of rectangles $R_{i,l,\varepsilon}$

that have a side in common with $R_{i,j,\varepsilon}$, $j \neq l$, depends only on N , and so we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{j=1}^{M_{i,\varepsilon}} \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq j}}^{M_{i,\varepsilon}} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial R_{i,j,\varepsilon} \cap \partial R_{i,l,\varepsilon}) &= C(N) \sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{j=1}^{M_{i,\varepsilon}} \mathcal{H}^{N-2}(R'_{i,j,\varepsilon}) \delta_\varepsilon^2 \\ &= CMM_{i,\varepsilon} \delta_\varepsilon^{N-2} \delta_\varepsilon^2 \leq C\delta_\varepsilon, \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

where in the last inequality we have used (4.11). By (4.8), $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial E_{s_\varepsilon}^1) \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, while from the fact that B_0 has polyhedral boundary it follows that

$$\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial E_{s_\varepsilon}^2) \leq C\varepsilon,$$

where the set E_s^2 is defined in (4.4). Hence $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial E_{s_\varepsilon}) \rightarrow 0$. By (4.6) and again the fact that B_0 has polyhedral boundary

$$\mathcal{L}^N(E_{s_\varepsilon}) = \mathcal{L}^N(E_{s_\varepsilon}^1) + \mathcal{L}^N(E_{s_\varepsilon}^2) \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.30)$$

Finally, by (F_2) and (G_2) ,

$$F(u; E_{s_\varepsilon}) \leq C \int_{E_{s_\varepsilon}} (1 + |\nabla u|^p) dx + C\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S(u) \cap E_{s_\varepsilon}). \quad (4.31)$$

Combining (4.28), (4.29), and (4.31) yields

$$\begin{aligned} F(v_{\varepsilon,m,n}; A) &\leq F(u_n; A) + C \int_{E_{s_\varepsilon}} (1 + |\nabla u|^p) dx + C\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S(u) \cap E_{s_\varepsilon}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{j=1}^{M_{i,\varepsilon}} I_{\varepsilon,m,n}^{i,j} + C\delta_\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

By (4.3) and (4.27),

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(v_{\varepsilon,m,n}; A) &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(u_n; A) + C \int_{E_{s_\varepsilon}} (1 + |\nabla u|^p) dx + C\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S(u) \cap E_{s_\varepsilon}) \\ &\quad + C \sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{j=1}^{M_{i,\varepsilon}} \left[\int_{R_{i,j,\varepsilon}} (1 + |\nabla u|^p) dx + \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S(u) \cap R_{i,j,\varepsilon}) \right] \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(u_n; A) + C \int_{E_{s_\varepsilon}} (1 + |\nabla u|^p) dx + C\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S(u) \cap E_{s_\varepsilon}) \\ &\quad + C \sum_{i=1}^M \left[\int_{P_{i,\varepsilon}} (1 + |\nabla u|^p) dx + \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S(u) \cap P_{i,\varepsilon}) \right] + O(1), \end{aligned}$$

where $P_{i,\varepsilon}$ is the set defined in (4.10). Using (4.30) and the fact that $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S(u) \cap \partial B_0) = 0$, by letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, it follows that

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(v_{\varepsilon,m,n}; A) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(u_n; A).$$

By a diagonalization argument, we obtain a subsequence $v_k := v_{\varepsilon_k, m_k, n_k} \in SBV_p(\Omega; S^{d-1})$ converging to u in $L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and such that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} F(v_k; A) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(u_n; A).$$

By construction, $v_k = u$ on a neighborhood of ∂B_0 . □

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 4.2.

Proof of Theorem 4.2. We prove that $\mathcal{F}(u; \cdot)$ satisfies the hypotheses of Proposition 5.2 in the appendix. Property (1) follows from the fact that admissible sequences for $A_1 \cup A_2$ are exactly those obtained by pairing admissible sequences for A_1 and A_2 .

Next we prove that

$$\mathcal{F}(u; A) \leq \mathcal{F}(u; B) + \mathcal{F}(u; A \setminus \overline{C}) \quad (4.32)$$

for every $A, B, C \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ such that $C \subset\subset B \subset\subset A$. By (1.1), for every $\eta > 0$ one can find $\{u_n\} \subset SBV_p(B; S^{d-1})$, $\{v_n\} \subset SBV_p(A \setminus \overline{C}; S^{d-1})$ such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^1(B; \mathbb{R}^d)$, $v_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^1(A \setminus \overline{C}; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(u_n; B) \leq \mathcal{F}(u; B) + \eta, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(v_n; A \setminus \overline{C}) \leq \mathcal{F}(u; A \setminus \overline{C}) + \eta. \quad (4.33)$$

Choose $B_0 \in \mathcal{A}_\infty(\Omega)$ such that B_0 has polyhedral boundary, $C \subset\subset B_0 \subset\subset B$, and $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S(u) \cap \partial B_0) = 0$. Applying Lemma 4.3 we may find $\{u'_n\} \subset SBV_p(B; S^{d-1})$, $\{v'_n\} \subset SBV_p(A \setminus \overline{C}; S^{d-1})$, converging to u in $L^1(B; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $L^1(A \setminus \overline{C}; \mathbb{R}^d)$, respectively, such that $u'_n = v'_n = u$ in a neighborhood of ∂B_0 (depending on n), and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(u'_n; B) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(u_n; B), \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(v'_n; A \setminus \overline{C}) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(v_n; A \setminus \overline{C}).$$

Define

$$w_n := \begin{cases} u'_n & \text{in } B_0, \\ v'_n & \text{in } A \setminus B_0. \end{cases}$$

Then $w_n \in SBV_p(A; S^{d-1})$ and $w_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^1(A; \mathbb{R}^d)$. Hence, by (1.1), (4.33), and the fact that $f, g \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(u; A) &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(w_n; A) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(u'_n; B) + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(v'_n; A \setminus \overline{C}) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(u_n; B) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(v_n; A \setminus \overline{C}) \leq \mathcal{F}(u; B) + \mathcal{F}(u; A \setminus \overline{C}) + 2\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\eta \rightarrow 0^+$, we obtain (4.32).

Finally, let

$$\mu := C(1 + |\nabla u|^p) \mathcal{L}^N \llcorner \Omega + C\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \llcorner S(u).$$

By considering the sequence $u_n \equiv u$, by (1.1) and (F_2) , (G_2) , we have that

$$\mathcal{F}(u; A) \leq \mu(A)$$

for all $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$.

Thus, all the hypotheses of Proposition 5.2 in the appendix are satisfied, and so the result follows. \square

To establish (4.1) for a general $u \in SBV_p(\Omega; S^{d-1})$, we will use the regularity results of Carriero and Leaci [18] for sphere-valued minimizers of the Mumford-Shah functional, to approximate any u in $SBV_p(\Omega; S^{d-1})$ in a strong sense by a sequence $\{u_n\}$ of functions satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 4.2. The proof follows essentially the one of Braides and Chiadò-Piat (see Lemma 5.2 in [15]) for the unconstrained case.

Lemma 4.4. *If $u \in SBV_p(\Omega; S^{d-1})$, $p > 1$, then there exists a sequence $\{u_n\}$ in $SBV_p(\Omega; S^{d-1})$ strongly converging to u in $SBV_p(\Omega; S^{d-1})$ with the property that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist a closed $(N-1)$ -rectifiable set K_n and a constant $C_n > 0$ such that $u_n \in C^1(\Omega \setminus K_n; S^{d-1})$ and for every compact set $K \subset K_n$,*

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathcal{L}^N(\{x \in \Omega : \text{dist}(x, K) < \varepsilon\})}{\varepsilon} \leq C_n \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(K). \quad (4.34)$$

Moreover, if $p = 2$, then $u_n \in C^\infty(\Omega \setminus K_n; S^{d-1})$.

Proof. Since $S(u)$ is $(N-1)$ -rectifiable, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we may find a finite union of closed subsets R_n of hypersurfaces of class C^1 such that

$$\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S(u) \setminus R_n) \leq \frac{1}{n}.$$

Extend u to be zero outside Ω and let $\tilde{u}_n \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathbb{R}^d)$ be the mollification of this extension. Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \int_{\Omega} |u - \tilde{u}_n|^p dx = 0.$$

Let I be the functional defined on $SBV_p(\Omega; S^{d-1})$ by

$$\begin{aligned} I(v) := & \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p dx + \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S(v) \setminus R_n) + n \int_{\Omega} |v - \tilde{u}_n|^p dx \\ & + \int_{R_n} (1 + |v^+ - u^+| + |v^- - u^-|) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.35)$$

Here we choose the orientation $\nu_v = \nu_u$ on $S(u) \cap S(v) \cap R_n$.

Following the proof of Lemma 5.2 in [15], we have that this functional is coercive in SBV_p and it is lower semicontinuous with respect to strong convergence in $L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega; S^{d-1})$. Hence, for each n there exists a minimizer $u_n \in SBV_p(\Omega; S^{d-1})$ for (4.35). Again by Lemma 5.2 in [15], we obtain that $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in $SBV_p(\Omega; S^{d-1})$.

We claim that the restriction of u_n to $\Omega \setminus R_n$ is a local minimizer for the functional

$$J(v) := \int_{\Omega \setminus R_n} |\nabla v|^p dx + \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S(v) \setminus R_n) + n \int_{\Omega \setminus R_n} |v - \tilde{u}_n|^p dx,$$

$v \in SBV_p(\Omega \setminus R_n; S^{d-1})$. Indeed, fix n and let $v \in SBV_p(\Omega \setminus R_n; S^{d-1})$ be such that $v = u_n$ \mathcal{L}^N -a.e. $(\Omega \setminus R_n) \setminus K$ for some compact set $K \subset \Omega \setminus R_n$, and define

$$w(x) := \begin{cases} v(x) & \text{for } x \in K, \\ u_n(x) & \text{for } x \in \Omega \setminus K, \end{cases}$$

we have that $w \in SBV_p(\Omega; S^{d-1})$, and so $I(u_n) \leq I(w)$, or, equivalently,

$$J(u_n|_{\Omega \setminus R_n}) \leq J(v),$$

where we have used the fact $w^+ = u_n^+$ and $w^- = u_n^-$ \mathcal{H}^{N-1} -a.e. on R_n .

Since Lemma 4.5 in [18] still holds for local minimizers, we deduce that u_n belongs to the space $C^1(\overline{(\Omega \setminus R_n) \setminus S(u_n)}; S^{d-1})$ and

$$\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\overline{S(u_n)} \cap (\Omega \setminus R_n) \setminus S(u_n)) = 0.$$

Observing that Lemmas 4.8 and 4.9 in [18] are still valid for local minimizers, as in the proof of Proposition 5.3 in [7] (see also Theorem 4.10 in [18]), we have that for every compact set $K \subset \overline{S(u_n)} \cap (\Omega \setminus R_n)$,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathcal{L}^N(\{x \in \Omega \setminus R_n : \text{dist}(x, K) < \varepsilon\})}{2\varepsilon} = \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(K).$$

Letting $K_n := \overline{S(u_n)} \cup R_n$, we have $u_n \in C^1(\Omega \setminus K_n; S^{d-1})$. Fix a compact set $K \subset K_n$. Using the fact that for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$,

$$\text{dist}(x, K) = \min\{\text{dist}(x, K \setminus R_n), \text{dist}(x, K \cap R_n)\},$$

we obtain that

$$\{x \in \Omega : \text{dist}(x, K) < \varepsilon\} \subset \{x \in \Omega \setminus R_n : \text{dist}(x, K \setminus R_n) < \varepsilon\} \cup \{x \in \Omega : \text{dist}(x, K \cap R_n) < \varepsilon\}.$$

Since R_n is a finite union of hypersurfaces of class C^1 , it satisfies (4.34) (with R_n in place of K_n) for some constant $C'_n > 0$ (see by Theorem 3.2.39 in [28]). Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathcal{L}^N(\{x \in \Omega : \text{dist}(x, K) < \varepsilon\})}{\varepsilon} &\leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathcal{L}^N(\{x \in \Omega \setminus R_n : \text{dist}(x, K \setminus R_n) < \varepsilon\})}{\varepsilon} \\ &\quad + \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathcal{L}^N(\{x \in \Omega : \text{dist}(x, K \cap R_n) < \varepsilon\})}{\varepsilon} \\ &\leq 2\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(K \setminus R_n) + C'_n \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(K \cap R_n) \leq (2 + C'_n) \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(K), \end{aligned}$$

which shows (4.34).

Finally, if $p = 2$, then for any open ball $B \subset (\Omega \setminus R_n) \setminus \overline{S(u_n)}$, we have that u_n is a minimizer of the functional

$$J_1(v) := \int_B |\nabla v|^2 dx + n \int_B |v - \tilde{u}_n|^2 dx$$

among all functions $v \in u_n + W_0^{1,2}(B; S^{d-1})$. In view of the continuity of u_n in B , we have that the singular set (i.e. the set of discontinuity points) of u_n is empty in B , and reasoning as in Theorem 2.2.4 in [40], we obtain that $u_n \in C^\infty(B; S^{d-1})$. This shows that $u_n \in C^\infty((\Omega \setminus R_n) \setminus \overline{S(u_n)}; S^{d-1})$. \square

Lemma 4.5. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 4.2, let $u \in SBV_p(\Omega; S^{d-1})$ and let $\{u_n\} \subset SBV_p(\Omega; S^{d-1})$ converge to u strongly in $SBV_p(\Omega; S^{d-1})$. Then for every $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$,*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A Q_T f(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) dx \leq \int_A Q_T f(x, u, \nabla u) dx, \quad (4.36)$$

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S(u_n) \cap A} Rg(x, u_n^+, u_n^-, \nu_{u_n}) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \leq \int_{S(u) \cap A} Rg(x, u^+, u^-, \nu_u) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}. \quad (4.37)$$

Proof. Step 1- By extracting a subsequence, if necessary, we have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A Q_T f(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) dx = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A Q_T f(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) dx.$$

Since $\{u_n\}$ converges strongly to u in $SBV_p(\Omega; S^{d-1})$ (see (2.3)), by extracting a further subsequence, without loss of generality, we may assume that $\{u_n\}$ and $\{\nabla u_n\}$ converge pointwise to u and ∇u \mathcal{L}^N -a.e. in Ω and that there exists $h \in L^1(\Omega)$ such that $|\nabla u_n|^p \leq h$ \mathcal{L}^N -a.e. in Ω and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Definition 2.5 and (F₂), we have that

$$0 \leq Q_T f(x, y, \xi) \leq f(x, y, \xi) \leq C(1 + |\xi|^p)$$

for all $x \in \Omega$, $y \in S^{d-1}$, and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}$. In particular,

$$Q_T f(x, u_n(x), \nabla u_n(x)) \leq C(1 + |h(x)|)$$

for \mathcal{L}^N -a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Moreover, by (3.17) and the fact that \tilde{f} is a Carathéodory function, we have that $Q\tilde{f}$ is upper semicontinuous in y and continuous in ξ (see Proposition 9.5 in [19]), and so we are in a position to apply Fatou's lemma to the sequence of functions

$$x \in A \mapsto C(1 + |h(x)|) - Q_T f(x, u_n(x), \nabla u_n(x))$$

to obtain (4.36).

Step 2- By extracting a subsequence, if necessary, we have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S(u_n) \cap A} Rg(x, u_n^+, u_n^-, \nu_{u_n}) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S(u_n) \cap A} Rg(x, u_n^+, u_n^-, \nu_{u_n}) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}.$$

Using the fact that

$$\int_{S(u)} (|u_n^+ - u^+| + |u_n^- - u^-|) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \rightarrow 0$$

by (2.3), by extracting a further subsequence, without loss of generality, we may assume that $u_n^\pm(x) \rightarrow u^\pm(x)$ for \mathcal{H}^{N-1} -a.e. $x \in S(u)$. Since $0 \leq Rg \leq g \leq C$ by (G_2) ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S(u_n) \cap A} Rg(x, u_n^+, u_n^-, \nu_{u_n}) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \\ & \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{S(u_n) \cap S(u) \cap A} Rg(x, u_n^+, u_n^-, \nu_{u_n}) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} + C\mathcal{H}^{N-1}((S(u_n) \setminus S(u)) \cap A) \right) \\ & \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S(u) \cap A} Rg(x, u_n^+, u_n^-, \nu_{u_n}) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $\nu_{u_n} = \nu_u$ \mathcal{H}^{N-1} -a.e. in $S(u_n) \cap S(u)$ (see (2.4)), (G_3) , and (2.3). Since Rg is nonnegative and upper semicontinuous (see Proposition 5.1 in the appendix), applying Fatou's lemma to the sequence of functions

$$x \in S(u) \cap A \mapsto C - Rg(x, u_n^+, u_n^-, \nu_u),$$

we obtain (4.37). \square

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Step 1- Assume first that $u \in SBV_2(\Omega; S^{d-1})$ is such that $u \in C^\infty(\Omega \setminus K; S^{d-1})$, where $K \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a closed $(N-1)$ -rectifiable set satisfying (4.2). Then, in view of Theorem 4.2, to establish the upper bound (4.1), it is enough to prove that

$$\frac{d\mathcal{F}(u; \cdot)}{d\mathcal{L}^N|_\Omega}(x_0) \leq Q_T f(x_0, u(x_0), \nabla u(x_0)) \quad \text{for } \mathcal{L}^N\text{-a.e. } x_0 \in \Omega, \quad (4.38)$$

$$\frac{d\mathcal{F}(u; \cdot)}{d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}|_{S(u)}}(x_0) \leq Rg(x_0, u^+(x_0), u^-(x_0), \nu_u(x_0)) \quad \text{for } \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\text{-a.e. } x_0 \in S(u). \quad (4.39)$$

Substep 1a- We prove (4.38). Since $f : \Omega \times S^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times N} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a Carathéodory function, by the Scorza–Dragoni theorem, for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a compact set $K_j \subset \Omega$ with $\mathcal{L}^N(\Omega \setminus K_j) \leq \frac{1}{j}$ such that $f : K_j \times S^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times N} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous. Let K_j^* be the set of Lebesgue points of χ_{K_j} and set

$$\omega := \bigcup_j (K_j \cap K_j^*).$$

Fix $x_0 \in \omega \setminus \overline{S(u)}$ such that x_0 is a Lebesgue point of χ_{K_j} ,

$$(\nabla u(x_0))^T u(x_0) = 0, \quad (4.40)$$

$$\frac{d\mathcal{F}(u; \cdot)}{d\mathcal{L}^N|_\Omega}(x_0) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathcal{F}(u; Q(x_0, \varepsilon))}{\varepsilon^N} < \infty, \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S(u) \cap Q(x_0, \varepsilon))}{\varepsilon^N} = 0. \quad (4.41)$$

Since $\Omega \setminus K$ is open there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $\overline{Q(x_0, \varepsilon_0)} \subset \Omega \setminus K$. Using the fact that $u \in C^1(\Omega \setminus K; S^{d-1})$, we have that

$$M := \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(\overline{Q(x_0, \varepsilon_0)}; \mathbb{R}^{d \times N})} < \infty. \quad (4.42)$$

In view of (4.40), $\nabla u(x_0) \in [T_{u(x_0)}(S^{d-1})]^N$, and so by (2.6)

$$Q_T f(x_0, u(x_0), \nabla u(x_0)) = Q\bar{f}(x_0, u(x_0), \nabla u(x_0)), \quad (4.43)$$

where

$$\bar{f}(x, z, \xi) := f(x, z, (\mathbb{I}_{d \times d} - z \otimes z)\xi)$$

for all $(x, z, \xi) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}$. By (4.43), for any fixed $\eta > 0$ there exists $\varphi \in W_0^{1,\infty}(Q; \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$Q_T f(x_0, u(x_0), \nabla u(x_0)) + \eta \geq \int_Q \bar{f}(x_0, u(x_0), \nabla u(x_0) + \nabla \varphi(y)) dy. \quad (4.44)$$

Extend φ periodically to \mathbb{R}^N with period Q , and for $x \in \Omega$ define

$$u_n(x) := \frac{u(x) + \psi_n(x)}{|u(x) + \psi_n(x)|},$$

where $\psi_n(x) := \frac{1}{n}\varphi(n(x - x_0))$. Note that for n large enough

$$|u + \psi_n| \geq |u| - \frac{1}{n}\|\varphi\|_\infty \geq \frac{1}{2}. \quad (4.45)$$

Thus by Corollary 3.1 in [3], $u_n \in SBV_2(\Omega; S^{d-1})$ and

$$\nabla u_n = \left(\mathbb{I} - \frac{u + \psi_n}{|u + \psi_n|} \otimes \frac{u + \psi_n}{|u + \psi_n|} \right) \frac{\nabla(u + \psi_n)}{|u + \psi_n|}, \quad (4.46)$$

so that in view of (4.45) and (4.42) in $Q(x_0, \varepsilon_0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla u_n| &\leq 2 \left| \mathbb{I} - \frac{u + \psi_n}{|u + \psi_n|} \otimes \frac{u + \psi_n}{|u + \psi_n|} \right| (M + \|\nabla \varphi\|_\infty) \\ &\leq 2(\|\mathbb{I}\| + 1)(M + \|\nabla \varphi\|_\infty) = 2(\sqrt{d} + 1)(M + \|\nabla \varphi\|_\infty) =: L. \end{aligned} \quad (4.47)$$

Since $S(u_n) \cap \Omega \subset S(u) \cap \Omega$, by (F₂), (4.47), and (G₂) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathcal{F}(u; \cdot)}{d\mathcal{L}^N \llcorner \Omega}(x_0) &\leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^N} \left(\int_{Q(x_0, \varepsilon) \cap K_j} f(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) dx + C \int_{Q(x_0, \varepsilon) \setminus K_j} (1 + L^2) dx \right) \\ &\quad + C \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S(u) \cap Q(x_0, \varepsilon))}{\varepsilon^N} \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^N} \int_{Q(x_0, \varepsilon) \cap K_j} f(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) dx, \end{aligned} \quad (4.48)$$

where we have used (4.40)₃ and the fact that x_0 is a Lebesgue point of χ_{K_j} .

Since $f : K_j \times S^{d-1} \times B_{d \times N}(0; L) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is uniformly continuous, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|f(x, z_1, \xi_1) - f(x, z_2, \xi_2)| < \eta \quad (4.49)$$

for all $x \in K_j$, $z_1, z_2 \in S^{d-1}$, $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \overline{B_{d \times N}(0; L)}$, with $|x - x_0| < \delta$, $|z_1 - z_2| < \delta$, $|\xi_1 - \xi_2| < \delta$.

Using the fact that $\|\psi_n\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{n}\|\varphi\|_\infty$ we have that

$$\left(\mathbb{I} - \frac{u + \psi_n}{|u + \psi_n|} \otimes \frac{u + \psi_n}{|u + \psi_n|} \right) \frac{1}{|u + \psi_n|} \rightarrow (\mathbb{I} - u \otimes u)$$

uniformly on $\overline{Q(x_0, \varepsilon_0)}$. Thus

$$\left| \left(\mathbb{I} - \frac{u + \psi_n}{|u + \psi_n|} \otimes \frac{u + \psi_n}{|u + \psi_n|} \right) \frac{1}{|u + \psi_n|} - (\mathbb{I} - u \otimes u) \right| \leq \frac{\delta}{3(1+L)}$$

for all n sufficiently large. On the other hand, since u and ∇u are continuous at x_0 , there exists $0 < \varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x) - u(x_0)| &\leq \frac{\delta}{2}, & |u(x) \otimes u(x) - u(x_0) \otimes u(x_0)| &\leq \frac{\delta}{3(1+L)}, \\ |\nabla u(x) - \nabla u(x_0)| &\leq \frac{\delta}{3(2 + \sqrt{N})} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in Q(x_0, \varepsilon_1)$. Hence in $Q(x_0, \varepsilon_1)$, for all n sufficiently large, we have $|u_n - u(x_0)| \leq \delta$ and

$$\left| \left(\mathbb{I} - \frac{u + \psi_n}{|u + \psi_n|} \otimes \frac{u + \psi_n}{|u + \psi_n|} \right) \frac{\nabla u + \nabla \psi_n}{|u + \psi_n|} - (\mathbb{I} - u(x_0) \otimes u(x_0)) (\nabla u(x_0) + \nabla \psi_n) \right| \leq \delta.$$

In turn, by (4.46), (4.49), in $K_j \cap Q(x_0, \varepsilon_1)$ for all n sufficiently large we have

$$f(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \leq f(x_0, u(x_0), (\mathbb{I} - u(x_0) \otimes u(x_0)) (\nabla u(x_0) + \nabla \psi_n)) + \eta = \bar{f}(x_0, u(x_0), \nabla u(x_0) + \nabla \psi_n) + \eta.$$

It follows from (4.48) and the fact that $f \geq 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathcal{F}(u; \cdot)}{d\mathcal{L}^N \llcorner \Omega}(x_0) &\leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^N} \int_{Q(x_0, \varepsilon)} \bar{f}(x_0, u(x_0), \nabla u(x_0) + \nabla \psi_n(x)) \, dx + \eta \\ &= \int_Q \bar{f}(x_0, u(x_0), \nabla u(x_0) + \nabla \varphi(y)) \, dy + \eta \leq Q_T f(x_0, u(x_0), \nabla u(x_0)) + 2\eta, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma (see Lemma 2.85 in [29]) and (4.44). Letting $\eta \rightarrow 0^+$, one attains (4.38).

Substep 1b- To obtain (4.39), let $x_0 \in S(u)$ be such that

$$\frac{d\mathcal{F}(u; \cdot)}{d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \llcorner S(u)}(x_0) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathcal{F}(u; Q_{\nu_u(x_0)}(x_0, \varepsilon))}{\varepsilon^{N-1}} < \infty, \quad (4.50)$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{N-1}} \int_{Q_{\nu_u(x_0)}(x_0, \varepsilon)} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx = 0, \quad (4.51)$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{N-1}} \int_{Q_{\nu_u(x_0)}(x_0, \varepsilon) \cap S(u)} Rg(x, u^+, u^-, \nu_u) \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} = Rg(x_0, u^+(x_0), u^-(x_0), \nu_u(x_0)). \quad (4.52)$$

For simplicity, in what follows we assume that $x_0 = 0$ and $\nu = e_N$. We divide the proof into 4 cases.

Case 1- Assume first that $u \in SBV_2(\Omega; S^{d-1})$ has the form

$$u(x) = c_1 \chi_E(x) + c_2 \chi_{\Omega \setminus E}(x), \quad x \in \Omega,$$

where $c_1, c_2 \in S^{d-1}$ and the set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a polyhedral set, that is,

$$\partial E \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^M P_i,$$

where

$$P_i = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : (x - a_i) \cdot \eta_i = 0\}$$

for some $a_i \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $\eta_i \in S^{N-1}$, $i = 1, \dots, M$. Since $S(u) = \partial E \cap \Omega \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^M P_i$, it is enough to study

the case in which 0 belongs to the (relative) interior of one of the P_i .

Fix $\rho > 0$. By definition of BV -elliptic envelope we may find a function $\varphi \in SBV_0(Q; S^{d-1})$ such that

$$\varphi = u_{u^+(0), u^-(0), e_N} \quad \text{on } \partial Q \quad (4.53)$$

and

$$\int_{S(\varphi)} g(0, \varphi^+(y), \varphi^-(y), \nu_{\varphi(y)}) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(y) \leq Rg(0, u^+(0), u^-(0), e_N) + \rho. \quad (4.54)$$

In view of (4.53) for every $y_N \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ we may extend $\varphi(\cdot, y_N)$ to \mathbb{R}^{N-1} as a periodic function with period Q_{N-1} . Since 0 belongs to the (relative) interior of P_i , for $\varepsilon > 0$ small we have that $u = u_{u^+(0), u^-(0), e_N}$ in $Q(0, \varepsilon)$ and

$$S(u) \cap Q(0, \varepsilon) = P_i \cap Q(0, \varepsilon),$$

and consider the sequence $v_n : Q(0, \varepsilon) \rightarrow S^{d-1}$ defined by

$$v_n(x) := \begin{cases} \varphi\left(\frac{n}{\varepsilon}x\right) & \text{if } |x_N| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2n}, \\ u(x) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By Corollary 3.89 in [5], $v_n \in SBV_0(Q(0, \varepsilon); S^{d-1})$. Moreover, $|v_n| = 1$ \mathcal{L}^N -a.e. in $Q(0, \varepsilon)$, $v_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^1(Q(0, \varepsilon); \mathbb{R}^d)$, and so

$$\mathcal{F}(u; Q(0, \varepsilon)) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{Q(0, \varepsilon)} f(x, v_n, 0) dx + \int_{S(v_n) \cap Q_{N-1}(0, \varepsilon) \times \left[-\frac{\varepsilon}{2n}, \frac{\varepsilon}{2n}\right]} g(x, v_n^+, v_n^-, \nu_{v_n}) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \right), \quad (4.55)$$

where we have used the facts that $v_n \in SBV_0(Q(0, \varepsilon); S^{d-1})$ and that, by choice of ε ,

$$S(v_n) = S(v_n) \cap \left(Q_{N-1}(0, \varepsilon) \times \left[-\frac{\varepsilon}{2n}, \frac{\varepsilon}{2n}\right] \right)$$

for all n sufficiently large. By (F₂), (4.55) becomes

$$\mathcal{F}(u; Q(0, \varepsilon)) \leq C\varepsilon^N + \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S(v_n) \cap Q_{N-1}(0, \varepsilon) \times \left[-\frac{\varepsilon}{2n}, \frac{\varepsilon}{2n}\right]} g(x, v_n^+, v_n^-, \nu_{v_n}) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}.$$

Since g is uniformly continuous, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|g(x, a, b, \nu) - g(y, a, b, \nu)| < \rho$ for all $x, y \in Q(0, \varepsilon)$ with $|x - y| < \delta$, all $a, b \in S^{d-1}$ and all $\nu \in S^{N-1}$. Hence, if $\varepsilon < \delta$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(u; Q(0, \varepsilon)) &\leq C\varepsilon^N + \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S(v_n) \cap Q_{N-1}(0, \varepsilon) \times \left[-\frac{\varepsilon}{2n}, \frac{\varepsilon}{2n}\right]} (g(0, v_n^+, v_n^-, \nu_{v_n}) + \rho) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^N + \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varepsilon^{N-1}}{n^{N-1}} \int_{S(\varphi) \cap Q_{N-1}(0, n) \times \left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right]} (g(0, \varphi^+, \varphi^-, \nu_\varphi) + \rho) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \\ &= C\varepsilon^N + \varepsilon^{N-1} \int_{S(\varphi)} g(0, \varphi^+, \varphi^-, \nu_\varphi) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} + \rho\varepsilon^{N-1} \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^N + \varepsilon^{N-1} Rg(0, u^+(0), u^-(0), e_N) + 2\rho\varepsilon^{N-1} \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the change of variables $x = \frac{\varepsilon}{n}y$, Fubini's theorem, the periodicity of $\varphi(\cdot, y_N)$ (see (4.53)), and (4.54). In turn, by (4.50),

$$\frac{d\mathcal{F}(u; \cdot)}{d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \llcorner S(u)}(0) \leq Rg(0, u^+(0), u^-(0), e_N) + 2\rho.$$

Letting ρ go to zero we obtain (4.39).

Case 2- Next assume that $u \in SBV_2(\Omega; S^{d-1})$ has the form

$$u(x) = c_1 \chi_E(x) + c_2 \chi_{\Omega \setminus E}(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad (4.56)$$

where $c_1, c_2 \in S^{d-1}$ and the set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is such that ∂E is contained in a closed $(N-1)$ -rectifiable set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ satisfying (4.2). Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small. By standard approximation results (see,

e.g., Lemma 3.1 in [9] or [20]), there exists a sequence $\{E_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset Q(0, \varepsilon)$ such that each E_n is a polyhedral set and

$$\chi_{E_n} \rightarrow \chi_E \text{ in } L^1(Q(0, \varepsilon)), \quad |D\chi_{E_n}|(Q(0, \varepsilon)) \rightarrow |D\chi_E|(Q(0, \varepsilon)).$$

Set $u_n := c_1\chi_{E_n} + c_2\chi_{Q(0, \varepsilon) \setminus E_n}$. Then $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^1(Q(0, \varepsilon); S^{d-1})$, and so by Case 1 applied to each u_n , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(u; Q(0, \varepsilon)) &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}(u_n; Q(0, \varepsilon)) \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{Q(0, \varepsilon)} f(x, u_n, 0) \, dx + \int_{S(u_n) \cap Q(0, \varepsilon)} Rg(x, u_n^+, u_n^-, \nu_{u_n}) \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since Rg is upper semicontinuous, there exists a decreasing sequence of continuous functions $g_k : \Omega \times S^{d-1} \times S^{d-1} \times S^{N-1} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $Rg = \inf_k g_k$. Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S(u_n) \cap Q(0, \varepsilon)} Rg(x, u_n^+, u_n^-, \nu_{u_n}) \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial^* E_n \cap Q(0, \varepsilon)} g_k(x, c_1, c_2, \nu_{E_n}) \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \\ &= \int_{\partial^* E \cap Q(0, \varepsilon)} g_k(x, c_1, c_2, \nu_E) \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the equality we have used Reshetnyak continuity theorem (see Theorem 2.39 in [5], see also [42]). Therefore,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S(u_n) \cap Q(0, \varepsilon)} Rg(x, u_n^+, u_n^-, \nu_{u_n}) \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \leq \int_{S(u) \cap Q(0, \varepsilon)} Rg(x, u^+, u^-, \nu_u) \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1},$$

by Lebesgue monotone convergence theorem and (G_2) . Hence, also by (F_2) , we have that

$$\mathcal{F}(u; Q(0, \varepsilon)) \leq C\varepsilon^N + \int_{S(u) \cap Q(0, \varepsilon)} Rg(x, u^+, u^-, \nu_u) \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}.$$

Dividing the previous inequality by ε^{N-1} and letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, (4.39) follows from (4.50) and (4.52). In view of Step 1, this shows that (4.1) holds for all functions u as in (4.56).

Case 3- Consider next the case in which $u \in SBV_2(\Omega; S^{d-1})$ has the form

$$u(x) = \sum_{i=1}^M c_i \chi_{E_i}(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad (4.57)$$

where $c_i \in S^{d-1}$, the sets $E_i \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ are pairwise disjoint, $\{E_i \cap \Omega\}_i$ is a partition of Ω , and $\bigcup_{i=1}^M \partial E_i$ is contained in a closed $(N-1)$ -rectifiable set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ satisfying (4.2). Then by Theorem 4.2, $\mathcal{F}(u; \cdot)$ is the restriction to $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ of a Radon measure defined on $\mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ and still denoted $\mathcal{F}(u; \cdot)$. As in the proof of Proposition 4.8 in [6], we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(u; Q(0, \varepsilon)) &= \mathcal{F}(u; Q(0, \varepsilon) \setminus S(u)) + \mathcal{F}(u; S(u) \cap Q(0, \varepsilon)) \\ &= \mathcal{F}(u; Q(0, \varepsilon) \setminus S(u)) + \sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{j>i} \mathcal{F}(u; \partial^* E_i \cap \partial^* E_j \cap Q(0, \varepsilon)). \end{aligned} \quad (4.58)$$

Note that for \mathcal{H}^{N-1} -a.e. $x \in \partial^* E_i \cap \partial^* E_j \cap Q(0, \varepsilon)$ the function u coincides with the function

$$v(x) := c_i \chi_{E_i}(x) + c_j \chi_{\Omega \setminus E_i}(x), \quad x \in \Omega.$$

Repeating word-for-word the proof of Step 1 of Proposition 4.4 in [6], we have that for every compact set $K_1 \subset \partial^* E_i \cap \partial^* E_j \cap Q(0, \varepsilon)$,

$$\mathcal{F}(u; K_1) = \mathcal{F}(v; K_1). \quad (4.59)$$

Indeed, all the hypotheses of that proposition are satisfied with the exception of hypothesis (4.12) in [6], that is,

$$\mathcal{F}(w; A) \leq C |Dw|(A)$$

for all $w \in BV(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and all $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$, and for some $C > 0$. Note however, that by (F_2) and (G_2) , we have that

$$\mathcal{F}(w; A) \leq C (\mathcal{L}^N(A) + |Dw|(A))$$

for all $w \in SBV_0(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and all $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$. An inspection of the proof of Step 1 of Proposition 4.4 in [6] shows that this latter condition is all is needed. Hence, (4.59) holds, and so

$$\mathcal{F}(u; Q(0, \varepsilon) \cap \partial^* E_i \cap \partial^* E_j) = \mathcal{F}(v; Q(0, \varepsilon) \cap \partial^* E_i \cap \partial^* E_j).$$

Since the function v is of the type (4.56), in view of Step 1 and Case 1, we have that (4.1) holds for v . In turn, also by Theorem 4.2 applied to v ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(v; \partial^* E_i \cap \partial^* E_j \cap Q(0, \varepsilon)) &\leq \int_{\partial^* E_i \cap \partial^* E_j \cap Q(0, \varepsilon)} Rg(x, v^+, v^-, \nu_v) \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \\ &= \int_{\partial^* E_i \cap \partial^* E_j \cap Q(0, \varepsilon)} Rg(x, c_i, c_j, \nu_{E_i}) \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, also by (4.58) and (F_2) , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(u; Q(0, \varepsilon)) &\leq C\varepsilon^N + \sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{j>i} \int_{\partial^* E_i \cap \partial^* E_j \cap Q(0, \varepsilon)} Rg(x, c_i, c_j, \nu_{E_i}) \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \\ &= C\varepsilon^N + \int_{S(u) \cap Q(0, \varepsilon)} Rg(x, u^+, u^-, \nu_u) \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Dividing the previous inequality by ε^{N-1} and letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, (4.39) follows from (4.50) and (4.52). In view of Step 1, this shows that (4.1) holds for all functions u as in (4.57).

Case 4- Finally, assume that $u \in SBV_2(\Omega; S^{d-1})$ is such that $u \in C^\infty(\Omega \setminus K; S^{d-1})$, where K is a closed $(N-1)$ -rectifiable set satisfying (4.2). Since $0 \in \Omega$, we may find $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $\overline{Q(0, \varepsilon_0)} \subset \Omega$. Let

$$B_n := \left\{ x \in \Omega : \text{dist}\left(x, K \cap \overline{Q(0, \varepsilon_0)}\right) < \frac{1}{n} \right\}.$$

By (4.2) there exists $c > 0$ such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{L}^N(B_n) \leq \frac{c}{n}$. Since $\nabla u \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{d \times N})$, we have that $\int_{B_n} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $k_n \in \mathbb{N}$ be chosen such that $k_n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\frac{n}{k_n^2} + k_n \int_{B_n} |\nabla u| \, dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.60)$$

(If $\int_{B_n} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx \neq 0$ we may take k_n to be the integer part of $\frac{n^{1/2}}{(\int_{B_n} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx)^{1/4}}$). For every $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, with $-2k_n \leq l < 2k_n$, and for every $j = 1, \dots, d$, by the coarea formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_n \cap \left\{ \frac{l}{k_n} < u^j \leq \frac{l+1}{k_n} \right\}} |\nabla u^j| \, dx &= |Du_j| \left((B_n \setminus S(u)) \cap \left\{ \frac{l}{k_n} < u^j \leq \frac{l+1}{k_n} \right\} \right) \\ &= \int_{\frac{l}{k_n}}^{\frac{l+1}{k_n}} \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \left((B_n \setminus S(u)) \cap \partial^* (\{u^j > t\}) \right) \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

By Sard's theorem (see, e.g., Theorem 1.2 in [12]), we have that for \mathcal{L}^1 -a.e. $t \in [-1, 1]$,

$$(\Omega \setminus K) \cap (u^j)^{-1}(\{t\}) \text{ is a } C^\infty \text{ hypersurface.} \quad (4.61)$$

Let $\Gamma \subset [-1, 1]$ be the set of t for which (4.61) holds. Then

$$\int_{B_n \cap \left\{ \frac{l}{k_n} < u^{(j)} \leq \frac{l+1}{k_n} \right\}} |\nabla u^j| \, dx = \int_{\left(\frac{l}{k_n}, \frac{l+1}{k_n} \right) \cap \Gamma} \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \left((B_n \setminus S(u)) \cap \partial^* (\{u^j > t\}) \right) dt,$$

and so there exists $t_{j,l} \in \left(\frac{l}{k_n}, \frac{l+1}{k_n} \right) \cap \Gamma$ such that

$$\int_{B_n \cap \left\{ \frac{l}{k_n} < u^{(j)} \leq \frac{l+1}{k_n} \right\}} |\nabla u^j| \, dx \geq \frac{1}{k_n} \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \left((B_n \setminus S(u)) \cap \partial^* (\{u^j > t_{j,l}\}) \right).$$

Summing over l yields

$$\int_{B_n} |\nabla u^j| \, dx \geq \frac{1}{k_n} \sum_{l=-2k_n}^{2k_n-1} \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \left((B_n \setminus S(u)) \cap \partial^* (\{u^j > t_{j,l}\}) \right). \quad (4.62)$$

Define $\widehat{v}_n : B_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ as

$$\widehat{v}_n^j(x) := \widehat{c}_l^j \quad \text{if } x \in \{y \in B_n : t_{j,l} < u^j(y) \leq t_{j,l+1}\}, \quad (4.63)$$

for $l = -2k_n, \dots, 2k_n - 1$, where the numbers $\widehat{c}_l^j \in (t_{j,l}, t_{j,l+1}]$ are chosen so that $\frac{\widehat{c}_l^j}{|\widehat{c}_l^j|} \neq -\frac{\widehat{c}_s^j}{|\widehat{c}_s^j|}$ for $l \neq s$. Since $t_{j,l+1} - t_{j,l} \leq \frac{2}{k_n}$, we have that $\|\widehat{v}_n^j - u^j\|_{L^\infty(B_n)} \leq \frac{2}{k_n}$, and so

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq 1 - \frac{2\sqrt{d}}{k_n} \leq |u(x)| - |\widehat{v}_n(x) - u(x)| \leq |\widehat{v}_n(x)| \leq |u(x)| + |\widehat{v}_n(x) - u(x)| \leq 1 + \frac{2\sqrt{d}}{k_n} \quad (4.64)$$

for \mathcal{L}^N -a.e. $x \in B_n$ and for all n sufficiently large. Thus we may define $v_n : B_n \rightarrow S^{d-1}$ as

$$v_n := \frac{\widehat{v}_n}{|\widehat{v}_n|}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_n - u\|_{L^\infty(B_n)} &\leq \|v_n - \widehat{v}_n\|_{L^\infty(B_n)} + \|\widehat{v}_n - u\|_{L^\infty(B_n)} \leq \|1 - |\widehat{v}_n|\|_{L^\infty(B_n)} + \frac{2\sqrt{d}}{k_n} \\ &\leq \frac{2\sqrt{d}}{k_n} + \frac{2\sqrt{d}}{k_n} \leq \frac{C(d)}{k_n}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.65)$$

where we have used (4.64). Moreover, by construction

$$S(v_n) \cap B_n \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^d \bigcup_{l=-2k_n}^{2k_n-1} \partial^* (\{u^j > t_{j,l}\}) \cap B_n, \quad (4.66)$$

and so by (4.62),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}((S(v_n) \cap B_n) \setminus S(u)) &\leq \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{l=-2k_n}^{2k_n-1} \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \left((B_n \setminus S(u)) \cap \partial^* (\{u^j > t_{j,l}\}) \right) \\ &\leq k_n \sum_{j=1}^d \int_{B_n} |\nabla u^j| \, dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.67)$$

Since $v_n \in SBV_0(B_n; S^{d-1})$ takes only a finite number of values, we may write

$$v_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{M_n} c_{i,n} \chi_{E_{i,n}}(x), \quad x \in B_n, \quad (4.68)$$

where $\{E_{i,n}\}_{i=1}^{M_n}$ is a partition of B_n . Let B'_n be an open subset with Lipschitz boundary such that

$$B_{2n} \cap Q(0, \varepsilon_0) \subset B'_n \subset B_n \cap Q(0, \varepsilon_0).$$

Define

$$\bar{v}_n(x) := \begin{cases} v_n(x) & \text{if } x \in B'_n, \\ e_1 & \text{if } x \in \Omega \setminus B'_n. \end{cases}$$

Note that in view of (4.61), (4.63), the properties of K , and the fact B'_n is Lipschitz, the function \bar{v}_n is of the type (4.57).

We now modify v_n to match u in the region $B'_n \setminus B_{3n}$. Let $\varphi_n \in C^\infty(\overline{B'_n})$ be such that $\varphi_n = 1$ in $B_{3n} \cap Q(0, \varepsilon_0)$, $\varphi_n = 0$ outside B'_n , $0 \leq \varphi_n \leq 1$, and $\|\nabla \varphi_n\|_{L^\infty(B'_n; \mathbb{R}^N)} \leq Cn$ and define

$$u_n := \frac{\varphi_n \bar{v}_n + (1 - \varphi_n) u}{|\varphi_n \bar{v}_n + (1 - \varphi_n) u|} = \frac{\varphi_n v_n + (1 - \varphi_n) u}{|\varphi_n v_n + (1 - \varphi_n) u|}.$$

Since $|v_n - u| \leq \frac{C(d)}{k_n} \mathcal{L}^N$ -a.e. in B_n , we have that for n large enough,

$$|\varphi_n v_n + (1 - \varphi_n) u| = |u + \varphi_n (v_n - u)| \geq |u| - |\varphi_n (v_n - u)| \geq 1 - \frac{C(d)}{k_n} \geq \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}^N\text{-a.e. in } B'_n.$$

Using the fact that the projection $P : \mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_d(0, \frac{1}{2}) \rightarrow S^{d-1}$ is Lipschitz, by Corollary 3.1 in [5], we deduce that $u_n \in SBV_2(\Omega; S^{d-1})$. Moreover, since \bar{v}_n is of the type (4.57), we have that $u_n \in C^1(\Omega \setminus K_n; S^{d-1})$, where K_n is a closed $(N-1)$ -rectifiable set satisfying (4.2).

By Theorem 4.2, $\mathcal{F}(u_n; \cdot)$ is the restriction to $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ of a Radon measure, and so for $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(u_n; Q(0, \varepsilon)) &= \mathcal{F}(u_n; B_{3n} \cap Q(0, \varepsilon)) + \mathcal{F}(u_n; Q(0, \varepsilon) \setminus B_{3n}) \\ &\leq \mathcal{F}(u_n; B_{3n} \cap Q(0, \varepsilon)) + C \int_{Q(0, \varepsilon) \setminus B_{3n}} \left(1 + |\nabla u_n|^2\right) dx \\ &\quad + C\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S(u_n) \cap (Q(0, \varepsilon) \setminus B_{3n})). \end{aligned} \quad (4.69)$$

Since $u_n = v_n$ in $B_{3n} \cap Q(0, \varepsilon)$, and since Case 3 applies to \bar{v}_n , by the locality of $\mathcal{F}(\cdot; B_{3n} \cap Q(0, \varepsilon))$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(u_n; B_{3n} \cap Q(0, \varepsilon)) &= \mathcal{F}(v_n; B_{3n} \cap Q(0, \varepsilon)) \\ &\leq \int_{B_{3n} \cap Q(0, \varepsilon)} Q_T f(x, v_n, 0) dx + \int_{Q(0, \varepsilon) \cap B_{3n} \cap S(v_n)} Rg(x, v_n^+, v_n^-, \nu_{v_n}) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^N + \int_{Q(0, \varepsilon) \cap S(u)} Rg(x, v_n^+, v_n^-, \nu_u) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \\ &\quad + C\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(Q(0, \varepsilon) \cap B_{3n} \cap S(v_n) \setminus S(u)) \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^N + \int_{Q(0, \varepsilon) \cap S(u)} Rg(x, v_n^+, v_n^-, \nu_u) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} + Ck_n \int_{B_n} |\nabla u| dx, \end{aligned} \quad (4.70)$$

where we have used Proposition 3.73 in [5], the fact that $Rg \geq 0$, and (4.67).

Similarly, since $S(u) \subset B_{3n}$, by (4.67),

$$\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S(u_n) \cap (Q(0, \varepsilon) \setminus B_{3n})) \leq \mathcal{H}^{N-1}((S(v_n) \cap B'_n) \setminus S(u)) \leq Ck_n \int_{B_n} |\nabla u| dx, \quad (4.71)$$

while by (4.60) and (4.65),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q(0,\varepsilon)\setminus B_{3n}} \left(1 + |\nabla u_n|^2\right) dx &\leq \int_{Q(0,\varepsilon)} \left(1 + |\nabla u|^2\right) dx + C \int_{B'_n \setminus B_{3n}} n^2 |v_n - u|^2 dx \\ &\leq \int_{Q(0,\varepsilon)} \left(1 + |\nabla u|^2\right) dx + C \frac{n^2}{k_n^2} \mathcal{L}^N(B_n) \\ &= \int_{Q(0,\varepsilon)} \left(1 + |\nabla u|^2\right) dx + o(1), \end{aligned} \quad (4.72)$$

where we have used the facts that $\nabla v_n = 0$ \mathcal{L}^N -a.e. in B'_n and that $|\nabla u_n| \leq C |\nabla(\varphi_n v_n + (1 - \varphi_n) \nabla u)|$, since $|\varphi_n v_n + (1 - \varphi_n) u| \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Combining (4.69)-(4.72), we obtain

$$\mathcal{F}(u_n; Q(0, \varepsilon)) \leq C \int_{Q(0,\varepsilon)} \left(1 + |\nabla u|^2\right) dx + \int_{Q(0,\varepsilon) \cap S(u)} Rg(x, v_n^+, v_n^-, \nu_u) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} + o(1).$$

Since $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(u; Q(0, \varepsilon)) &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}(u_n; Q(0, \varepsilon)) \leq \int_{Q(0,\varepsilon)} \left(1 + |\nabla u|^2\right) dx \\ &\quad + \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{Q(0,\varepsilon) \cap S(u)} Rg(x, v_n^+, v_n^-, \nu_u) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.65), using the fact that $S(u) \subset B_n$, the upper semicontinuity of $Rg(x, \cdot, \cdot, \nu_u)$, (G_2) , and Fatou's lemma, we conclude that

$$\mathcal{F}(u; Q(0, \varepsilon)) \leq \int_{Q(0,\varepsilon)} \left(1 + |\nabla u|^2\right) dx + \int_{Q(0,\varepsilon) \cap S(u)} Rg(x, u^+, u^-, \nu_u) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}.$$

By dividing the previous inequality by ε^{N-1} and letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, (4.39) follows from (4.50)-(4.52).

Step 2- We establish (4.1) for a general $u \in SBV_2(\Omega; S^{d-1})$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$. Let $\{u_n\} \subset SBV_2(\Omega; S^{d-1})$ be the sequence given in Lemma 4.4. By the lower semicontinuity of $\mathcal{F}(\cdot; A)$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(u; A) &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}(u_n; A) \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_A Q_T f(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) dx + \int_{S(u_n) \cap A} Rg(x, u_n^+, u_n^-, \nu_{u_n}) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we have applied Step 1 to each u_n . By Lemma 4.5, (4.1) now follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Theorem 1.1 follows from Theorems 3.1 and 4.1. \square

5. APPENDIX

Proposition 5.1. *Let $g : S^{d-1} \times S^{d-1} \times S^{N-1} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be continuous. Then Rg is upper semicontinuous.*

Proof. Let $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\} \subset S^{d-1}$, $\{\nu_n\} \subset S^{N-1}$ be such that $a_n \rightarrow a$, $b_n \rightarrow b$ and $\nu_n \rightarrow \nu$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, choose $u \in SBV_0(Q; S^{d-1})$ such that $u = u_{a,b,\nu}$ on ∂Q_ν and

$$Rg(a, b, \nu) \geq \int_{S(u) \cap Q_\nu} g(u^+, u^-, \nu) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} - \varepsilon,$$

where $u_{a,b,\nu}$ is given by (2.9). Since g is uniformly continuous, there exists $0 < \delta < 1$ such that

$$|g(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \nu) - g(\alpha_2, \beta_2, \nu)| \leq \varepsilon \quad (5.1)$$

for all $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in S^{d-1}$, $\nu \in S^{N-1}$, $i = 1, 2$ with $|\alpha_1 - \alpha_2|, |\beta_1 - \beta_2| \leq \delta$.

Let $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that for all $n \geq n_0$,

$$\max\{|a_n - a|, |b_n - a|\} \leq \frac{\delta}{4}.$$

Then for every $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and $z \in S^{d-1}$,

$$|z + \theta(a_n - a)| \geq |z| - |a_n - a| \geq \frac{1}{2}, \quad |z + \theta(b_n - b)| \geq |z| - |b_n - b| \geq \frac{1}{2}. \quad (5.2)$$

Let $r > 0$ be so small that $B(a, r) \cap B(b, r) = \emptyset$ and let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(B(0, r); [0, 1])$ be such that $\varphi(0) = 1$. Define

$$\Psi_n(z) := \begin{cases} \frac{z + \varphi(z-a)(a_n-a)}{|z + \varphi(z-a)(a_n-a)|} & \text{if } z \in B(a, r), \\ \frac{z + \varphi(z-b)(b_n-b)}{|z + \varphi(z-b)(b_n-b)|} & \text{if } z \in B(b, r), \\ z & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $\Psi_n : S^{d-1} \rightarrow S^{d-1}$ is C^∞ and by (5.2)

$$|\Psi_n(z) - z| \leq 4 \max\{|a_n - a|, |b_n - a|\} \leq \delta \quad (5.3)$$

for all $n \geq n_0$.

Define

$$u_n(x) := (\Psi_n \circ u)(R_n x), \quad x \in Q_{\nu_n},$$

where R_n is a rotation such that $R_n^T \nu = \nu_n$. Since $\Psi_n \in C^\infty(S^{d-1}; S^{d-1})$, by Corollary 3.1 in [3] we have $u_n \in SBV_0(Q_{\nu}; S^{d-1})$, $u_n = u_{a_n, b_n, \nu_n}$ on ∂Q_{ν_n} , $S(u_n) = R_n^T S(u)$, and

$$u_n^\pm(x) = (\Psi_n \circ u^\pm)(R_n x)$$

for $x \in S(u_n)$.

Since u_n is admissible for $Rg(a_n, b_n, \nu_n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Rg(a_n, b_n, \nu_n) &\leq \int_{S(u_n)} g(u_n^+(x), u_n^-(x), \nu_{u_n}(x)) \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) \\ &= \int_{S(u)} g((\Psi_n \circ u^+)(y), (\Psi_n \circ u^-)(y), \nu_u(y)) \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(y) \\ &\leq \int_{S(u)} g(u^+(y), u^-(y), \nu_u(y)) \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(y) + \varepsilon \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S(u)), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the change of variables $y = R_n x$, (5.1), and (5.3). Letting first $n \rightarrow \infty$ and then $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ we obtain the desired result. \square

The following proposition provides sufficient conditions for an increasing set function be a Radon measure. It was used in the proof of Theorem 4.2 and is a consequence of De Giorgi-Letta's criterion (see [23]). The proof may be found in [25] (see Corollary 5.2), and is an adaptation of that of Theorem 4.3 in [6].

Proposition 5.2. *Let (X, d) be a locally compact metric space such that every open set $A \subset X$ is σ -compact. Assume that $\rho : \mathcal{A}(X) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is an increasing set function such that*

- (1) *(additivity on disjoint sets) $\rho(A_1 \cup A_2) = \rho(A_1) + \rho(A_2)$ for all $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}(X)$, with $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$;*
- (2) *for all $A, B, C \in \mathcal{A}(X)$, with $C \subset\subset B \subset\subset A$ we have*

$$\rho(A) \leq \rho(B) + \rho(A \setminus \overline{C});$$

- (3) *there exists a measure $\mu : \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that*

$$\rho(A) \leq \mu(A) < +\infty$$

for every $A \in \mathcal{A}(X)$.

Then ρ is the restriction to $\mathcal{A}(X)$ of a measure defined on $\mathcal{B}(X)$.

Acknowledgments. This paper is part of the first author's Ph.D. thesis, Carnegie Mellon University. The authors thank the Center for Nonlinear Analysis (NSF Grants No. DMS-0405343 and DMS-0635983) for its support during the preparation of this paper. The research of G. Carita was partially supported by the Portuguese Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT) under Grant No. PRAXiS XXI\BD\8137\2002 and by the center CIMA-UE at the University of Évora (Portugal), that of I. Fonseca by the National Science Foundation under Grants No. DMS-0401763 and DMS-0905778 and that of G. Leoni under Grants No. DMS-0708039 and DMS-1007989.

The authors would like to thank the referee for his/her thorough reading of the manuscript and his/her very pertinent comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] Alicandro R., Esposito A., and Leone C., *Relaxation in BV of integral functionals defined on Sobolev functions with values in the unit sphere*, J. Convex Anal., **14** (2007), 69–98.
- [2] Ambrosio L., *Existence theory for a new class of variational problems*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., **111** (1990), 291–322.
- [3] Ambrosio L. and Dal Maso G., *A general chain–rule for distributional derivatives*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc., **108** (1990), 691–702.
- [4] Ambrosio L. and Dal Maso G., *On the relaxation in BV ($\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m$) of quasiconvex integrals*, J. Funct. Anal., **109** (1992), 76–97.
- [5] Ambrosio L., Fusco N., and Pallara D., *Functions of Bounded Variation and Free Discontinuity Problems*. Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press, 2000.
- [6] Ambrosio L., Mortola S., and Tortorelli V., *Functionals with linear growth defined on vector valued BV functions*, J. Math. Pures Appl., **70** (1991), 269–323.
- [7] Ambrosio L. and Tortorelli V., *Approximation of functionals depending on jumps by elliptic functionals via Γ -convergence*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **43** (1990), 999–1036.
- [8] Babadjian J. F. and Millot V., *Homogenization of variational problems in manifold valued BV-spaces*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **36** (2009), 7–47.
- [9] Baldo S., *Minimal interface criterion for phase transitions in mixtures of Cahn-Hilliard fluids*, Annales de l'I.H.P., **7** (1990), 67–90.
- [10] Bethuel F., *The approximation problem for Sobolev maps between two manifolds*, Acta Math., **167** (1991), 153–206.
- [11] Bethuel F. and Zheng X., *Density of smooth functions between two manifolds in Sobolev spaces*, J. Functional Anal., **80** (1988), 60–75.
- [12] Bojarski B., Hajłasz P., and Strzelecki P., *Sard's theorem for mappings in Hölder and Sobolev spaces*, Manuscripta Math. **118** (2005), no. 3, 383–397.
- [13] Bouchitté G., Fonseca I., and Mascarenhas L., *A global method for relaxation*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., **145** (1998), 51–98.
- [14] Bouchitté G., Fonseca I., Leoni G., and Mascarenhas L., *A global method for relaxation in $W^{1,p}$ and SBV_p* , Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., **165** (2002), 187–242.
- [15] Braides A. and Chiadò Piat V., *Integral representation results for functionals defined on $SBV(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$* , J. Math. Pures Appl., **75** (1996), 595–626.
- [16] Brezis H., Coron J. M., and Lieb E. H., *Estimations d'énergie pour des applications de \mathbb{R}^3 à valeurs dans S^2* , C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **303** (1986), 207–210.
- [17] Cannarsa P. and Sinestrari C., *Semiconcave Functions, Hamilton-Jacobi Equations, and Optimal Control*. Birkhäuser, 2004.
- [18] Carriero M. and Leaci A., *S^k -valued maps minimizing the L^p norm of the gradient with free discontinuities*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, **18** (1991), 321–352.
- [19] Dacorogna B. *Direct methods in the calculus of variations*. Second edition. Applied Mathematical Sciences, 78. Springer, New York, 2008.
- [20] De Giorgi E. *Selected papers*. Edited by L. Ambrosio, G. Dal Maso, M. Forti, M. Miranda and S. Spagnolo. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
- [21] De Giorgi E. and Ambrosio L., *Un nuovo funzionale del calcolo delle variazioni*, Atti. Accad. Naz. Lincei Cl., Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Rend. Rend. Lincei (8) Mat. appl., **82** (1988), 199–210.
- [22] De Giorgi E., Carriero M., and Leaci A., *Existence theorem for a minimum problem with free discontinuity set*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., **108** (1989), 195–218.

- [23] De Giorgi E. and Letta, G., *Une notion générale de convergence faible pour des fonctions croissantes d ensemble*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4), **4** (1977), 61–99.
- [24] Dacorogna B., Fonseca I., Malý J., and Trivisa K. *Manifold constrained variational problems*, Calc. Var. **9**, (1999), 185–206.
- [25] Dal Maso G., Fonseca I., and Leoni G. *Nonlocal character of the reduced theory of thin films with higher order perturbations*, to appear in Advances in Calculus of Variations.
- [26] Ericksen J. L., *Liquid crystals with variable degree of orientation*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **113** (1990), 97–120.
- [27] Evans L.C. and Gariepy R.F., *Measure Theory and fine properties of functions*, CRC Press, 1992.
- [28] Federer H., *Geometric Measure Theory* Springer Verlag, Berlin 1969.
- [29] Fonseca I. and Leoni, G. *Modern Methods in the Calculus of Variations: L^p spaces*, Springer Verlag, 2007.
- [30] Fonseca I. and Leoni G. *Modern Methods in the Calculus of Variations: Sobolev spaces* in preparation.
- [31] Fonseca I. and Müller S., *Quasi-convex integrands and lower semicontinuity in L^1* , SIAM J. Math. Anal., **23** (1992), 1081–1098.
- [32] Fonseca I. and Müller S., *Relaxation of quasiconvex functionals in $BV(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$ for integrands $f(x, u, \nabla u)$* , Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., **123** (1993), 1–49.
- [33] Giaquinta M., Modica L., and Mucci D., *The relaxed Dirichlet energy of manifold constrained mappings*, Adv. Cal. Var., **1** (2008), 1–51.
- [34] Giaquinta M., Modica G., and Souček J., *Cartesian currents and variational problems for mappings into spheres*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **16** (1989), 393–485.
- [35] Giaquinta M., Modica G., and Souček J., *Liquid crystals: relaxed energies, dipoles, singular lines and singular points*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **17** (1990), 415–437.
- [36] Giusti E., *Minimal surfaces and functions of bounded variation*. Monographs in Mathematics, 80. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1984.
- [37] Hang F. and Lin F., *Topology of Sobolev mappings. II*, Acta Math. **191** (2003), 55–107.
- [38] Hardt R. and Lin F. H., *Mappings minimizing the L^p norm of the gradient*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **40** (1987), 555–588.
- [39] Hardt R., Kinderlehrer D., and Lin F. H., *Existence and partial regularity of static liquid crystals configurations*, Comm. Math. Phys., **105** (1986), 547–570.
- [40] Lin F. and Wang D., *The analysis of harmonic maps and their heat flows*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2008.
- [41] Mucci D., *Relaxation of isotropic functionals with linear growth defined on manifold values constrained Sobolev mappings*, ESAIM Cont. Opt. Optim. Calc. Var. **2** (2009), 295–321.
- [42] Spector D., *Simple proofs of some results of Reshetnyak*, submitted.
- [43] Virga E. G., *Variational theories for liquid crystals*. Applied Mathematics and Mathematical Computation, 8. Chapman & Hall, London, 1994.
- [44] Ziemer W. P., *Weakly differentiable functions*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1989.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ÉVORA, ÉVORA, PORTUGAL
E-mail address: gcarita@uevora.pt

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, CARNEGIE-MELLON UNIVERSITY, PITTSBURGH, PA, U.S.A.
E-mail address: fonseca@andrew.cmu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, CARNEGIE-MELLON UNIVERSITY, PITTSBURGH, PA, U.S.A.
E-mail address: giovanni@andrew.cmu.edu